

MAY 2022

---

Mining, Energy and Resources Decisions from the High Court,  
Federal Court, State and Territory Supreme Courts, Environment  
and Planning Courts and Tribunals and Mining Wardens

---

# AUSTRALIAN RESOURCES LAW REPORTS

2021

GENERAL EDITOR  
KANAGA DHARMANANDA SC

ASSISTANT EDITOR  
ROBERT SIZE

REPORTER  
ANNA ELIZABETH

VOL 15 — PART 1

PAGES 1-92

The mode of citation of this part will be:  
15 ARLR

---

---

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 1 — Pages 1-92

<b>Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power; Herridge Parties v</b> .....	1
<b>Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power</b> .....	1
<b>Mines and Petroleum, Minister for; Onslow Resources Ltd v</b> .....	80
<b>Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum</b> .....	80

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)

---

---



**THOMSON REUTERS**

© 2022 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited  
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-6775

# INDEX

Part 1 — Pages 1-92

## APPEAL

- Administrative law — Application for judicial review — Judicial Fact that mining operations statement not included with application — Decision that application for mining lease null and void — Review of decision.  
*Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum* ..... 80

## APPORTIONMENT OF LIABILITY BETWEEN CONCURRENT WRONGDOERS

- Whether a claim in nuisance based on a failure to take reasonable care is an apportionable claim.  
*Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power* ..... 1

## DAMAGES

- Whether trial judge erred in failing to award damages for physical inconvenience.  
*Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power* ..... 1

## MINING LAW

- Mining Act 1978 — Statutory interpretation — Application for mining lease — Requirement that application be accompanied by mining operations statement — Whether absence of mining operations statement fatal to exercise of power — Whether noncompliant mining operations statement can satisfy essential preliminaries for the exercise of power by the Minister to grant a mining lease.  
*Onslow Resources Ltd v Minister for Mines and Petroleum* ..... 80

## NEGLIGENCE

- Duty of care — Privately owned power pole on rural land — Power pole used past service life and affected by bio-deterioration — Power pole fell and started bushfire — Whether trial judge erred in finding occupier liable in negligence — Whether owner owed duty of care to inspect and maintain power pole — Whether duty breached by failing to inspect power pole — Whether the breach caused the plaintiffs' loss.  
*Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power* ..... 1

**INDEX**

**NEGLIGENCE — *continued***

Duty of care — Public authorities — Non-delegable duties — Where operator of electricity distribution system placed service apparatus it owned on privately owned consumer power pole — Where network operator engaged independent contractor to undertake works — Where independent contractor failed to adequately inspect power pole — Whether network operator owed a non-delegable duty to ensure independent contractor took reasonable care — Whether network operator took reasonable care in the selection and engagement of independent contractor — Whether trial judge erred in finding duty not non-delegable and effectively delegated.

*Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power* ..... 1

Duty of care — Public authorities — Whether operator of electricity distribution system owed a duty of care arising from the delivery of electricity through its electricity distribution system — Scope of duty of care — Whether network operator breached that duty of care — Whether alleged duty incompatible with s 25 of Electricity Act 1945 (WA).

*Herridge Parties v Electricity Networks Corporation t/as Western Power* ..... 1