THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 96, Number 4

April 2022

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Justice François Kunc

Briefly Noted	223
Guest Contributions	223
Bullying: In the Courtroom, In the Playground	224
Social Media (Anti-trolling) Bill 2022	227
The Curated Page	230
CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editors: Robert Angyal SC and Brendan Edgeworth	
Tenant's Fixtures: SPIC Pacific Hydro Pty Ltd v Chief Commissioner of State Revenue	231
AROUND THE NATION: AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY – Editor: Justice David Mossop	
Changing of the Guard at the Australian Capital Territory Supreme Court	234
FROM THE LAW SCHOOLS – Editor: Emeritus Professor David Barker	
Introducing a New Phase for Australian Legal Education	235

A RATIONAL APPROACH TO VICTIM VULNERABILITY IN SENTENCING

Mirko Bagaric

Committing an offence against a vulnerable victim is an aggravating factor. It is intuitively appealing to increase penalty severity when a crime is committed against a victim who is classified as "vulnerable". However, jurisprudentially this approach is questionable. The category of people who have been characterised as vulnerable is so large to make it nearly boundless. Moreover, the victim vulnerability aggravating factor is logically largely subsumed by another aggravating factor: significant harm caused by an offence. This article argues there is a need to provide definitional clarity to this consideration. The soundest approach is to significantly curtail the scope of the victim vulnerability sentencing consideration, such that it only applies in circumstances when there is no possible overlap with the significant harm aggravating factor. 237

A CRITICAL REVIEW OF AUSTRALIAN LAW REFORM ARCHITECTURE FOR DEVELOPING NATIONAL UNIFORM LEGISLATION: REINVENT, CREATE, OR STRENGTHEN?

Guzyal Hill and John Garrick

RECURRING ISSUES IN CIVIL APPEALS – PART 2

Thomas Prince

This is the second part of a two-part article considering some of the most commonly recurring issues in modern civil appeals. The first part addressed the historical development of the civil appeal and the standard of appellate review. This part considers when an appellate court is justified in interfering with findings of fact made by a trial judge and when a new point can be raised on appeal. 273

BOOK REVIEW – Editor: Angelina Gomez

Pandemics, Public Health Emergencies and Government Powers: Perspectives on Australian	
Law, edited by Belinda Bennett and Ian Freckelton	284

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN MARCH/APRIL 2022

Police, NSW Commissioner of v Cottle (Industrial Law; Police) ([2022] HCA 7)	304
Stubbings v Jams 2 Pty Ltd (Corporations; Equity; Professions and Trades)	
([2022] HCA 6)	271
Tapp v Australian Bushmen's Campdraft & Rodeo Association Ltd (Torts)	
([2022] HCA 11)	337
Taxation, Federal Commissioner of v Carter (Equity; Taxes and Duties) ([2022]	
HCA 10)	325
Wells Fargo Trust Co, National Association v VB Leasco Pty Ltd (administrators appointed)	
(Aviation; Statutes) ([2022] HCA 8)	314