JOURNAL OF BANKING AND FINANCE LAW AND PRACTICE

Volume 32, Number 3

2021

ARTICLES

Directing the Sinking Ship - Where to From Here? - William Porter

The Power to Alter Corporate Rescue in Australia – Paulina Fishman

Two statutory regimes which may be used to achieve corporate rescue in Australia are contained in Pts 5.3A and 5.3B of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth), respectively. The former regime confers a broad power on the court to "make such order as it thinks appropriate about how this Part is to operate in relation to a particular company". The relevant provision is s 447A. A replica of this provision appears, with some modifications, as s 458A in the new Pt 5.3B. This article examines s 447A's limitations, defines its proper role, and critically analyses three types of orders. That critical analysis supports the article is to assist with the future interpretation and application of s 447A, as well as s 458A, and thereby make a useful contribution to the operation of formal corporate rescue mechanisms in Australia.

An Identity Crisis? – The Changing Classification of Circulating and Non-circulating Security Interests – Louise England

Operation Innovation or Operational Risk? An Analysis of the Financial Regulation of Fintech – Riley Scott

The development of fintech capabilities has fundamentally altered the relationships within the financial system, and in doing so has created different categories of systemic risk. This article considers whether the prevailing financial regulatory regime sufficiently addresses the nature of fintech and the systemic risks it presents. The analysis focuses on operational risk, and argues that fintech's nuances and modular, decentralised structure requires a conceptually different approach to regulation. As such, the article proposes a high-level

Compliance Programs Introduced in Response to Enforcement by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission - Ian Ramsay and Mihika Upadhyaya

This article reports the results of a study of compliance programs introduced or amended in response to enforcement by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), whether required by court order or as part of an enforceable undertaking (EU). Most of the compliance programs involved companies in the financial services industry. The article identifies the benefits and challenges associated with effectively designing and implementing a compliance program as well as the previous research on compliance programs in Australia. It then examines compliance programs introduced by court order as well as compliance programs introduced or amended as part of an EU for the five-year period 2016 to 2020. An issue of concern is that reports published by ASIC on compliance with EUs contain very little substantive detail on the implementation or revision of compliance programs required by EUs, making it difficult to assess why a compliance program was or was not deemed adequate to comply with the EU. This limited transparency has implications for accountability, deterrence and public confidence. 194