Mining, Energy and Resources Decisions from the High Court, Federal Court, State and Territory Supreme Courts, Environment and Planning Courts and Tribunals and Mining Wardens

AUSTRALIAN RESOURCES LAW REPORTS 2020

GENERAL EDITOR
KANAGA DHARMANANDA SC

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS
KEIRA BRENNAN
GRAEME DENNIS
RICHARD DOUGLAS
MARK GERUS
ANTHONY GROOM

REPORTERS ANNA ELIZABETH ROBERT SIZE

VOL 14 — PART 2

PAGES 107-238

The mode of citation of this part will be: 14 ARLR

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Part 2 — Pages 107-238

Ammon; Pilbara Iron Ore Pty Ltd v	148
Global Advanced Metals Pty Ltd, In re	65
ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd; Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v	197
ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd; Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v	213
Korda and Others; Queensland Phosphate Pty Ltd and Another v	1
Mineral Royalties (NT), Secretary for; Newmont Tanami Pty Ltd v	107
Newmont Tanami Pty Ltd v Secretary for Mineral Royalties (NT)	107
Pilbara Iron Ore Pty Ltd v Ammon	148
Queensland Phosphate Pty Ltd and Another v Korda and Others	1
Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	197
Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	213

(Cases in **bold** reported in this part)



© 2021 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668
Published in Sydney

ISSN 1836-6775

Lawbook Co.

INDEX

Part 2 — Pages 107-238

CONTRACT

oint Venture agreement — Operating expenditure to be borne proportionally – Failure to pay cash calls — Default — Where failure due to lower than foreca sales by agent under sales agency agreement — Enforcement of security intere — Where refusal to pay would require other parties to bear all operating costs	st st
Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	197
Sale agency agreement — Failure to meet forecast sales targets — Where no warranty as to sales volume — Impact of COVID-19 — Where all joint venture parties affected proportionally.	
Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	197
CONTRACTS	
Interpretation — Joint venture agreement — Where agreement required joint venturers to bear and pay costs of mining operations — Where agreement appointed plaintiff manager responsible for mining operations — Where agreement empowered plaintiff to issue notice to joint venturers requesting payment — Where agreement stipulated time at which notices were to be issued, period to which notices were to relate and currency in which payment was to be made — Where plaintiff issued notices that did not comply with time provisions for several years — Where plaintiff requested defendant to make some payments in different currency — Where defendant made payments without objection — Whether time provisions were of the essence — Whether defendant agreed to pay in different currency — Whether defendant estopped from contending notices were invalid — Whether entire agreement and no waiver provisions precluded estoppel claim. **Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd**	213
Interpretation — Joint venture agreement — Where respondent held exploration licence — Where appellant and respondent entered into joint venture agreement — Where agreement required appellant to complete "feasibility study" — Where completion of feasibility study entitled appellant to 80% of joint venture — Where completion of study entitled respondent to seek finance to fund 20% interest or withdraw in exchange for royalty — Where appellant provided feasibility study that was not to satisfaction of respondent — Whether agreement contained implied terms as to nature of feasibility study — Whether agreement on its proper construction required feasibility study to meet certain minimum standards.	
Pilbara Iron Ore Pty Ltd v Ammon	148

INDEX

ENERGI AND RESOURCES	
Dispute between majority and minority participant in mining joint venture — Where failure of one party to pay cash calls issued under joint venture — Cash call notices and default notices issued — Where cash calls used to pay joint operating expenditure of mine — Enforcement of rights under joint venture agreement and associated deed of cross charge — Whether cash call notices invalid — Whether breach of joint venture agreement and associated sales agency agreement by sales agent — Whether failure to sell forecast tonnages of coal — Whether justifying refusal to pay cash calls. **Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	197
Royalties — Royalty calculation — Where royalty was to be calculated by subtracting operating costs from gross realisation — Where operating costs included eligible research and development — Where appellant undertook project to extend the life of mine — Whether expenditure on project constituted operating costs — Whether expenditure on project constituted research and development — Whether expenditure on project was expended in relevant royalty year — Whether appellant was entitled to capital recognition deduction — Taxation Administration Act 2007 (NT), s 115 — Mineral Royalty Act 1982 (NT), ss 4, 4B, 4C, 9, 9A, 10, 11.	
Newmont Tanami Pty Ltd v Secretary for Mineral Royalties (NT)	107
INJUNCTIONS Prima facie case — Balance of convenience — Whether injunction can be granted where first application refused — Whether sufficient difference in circumstances — Whether serious question to be tried — Where refusal to pay security into Court — Sufficiency of undertaking as to damages — Whether damages sufficient remedy. **Rolleston Coal Holdings Pty Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Pty Ltd	197
PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE	
Appeal — Notice of contention — Amendment of pleading — Where respondent sought leave to rely on notice of contention contending that agreement should be construed in manner not advanced before Mining Warden — Where respondent sought leave to amend pleading to plead that agreement should be construed in manner not advanced before Mining Warden — Whether granting leave is in interests of justice. Pilbara Iron Ore Pty Ltd v Ammon	148
SECURITY	
Deed of cross charge — Fixed charge — Right of chargor to enforce security — Where chargor retained sales proceeds as security — Where chargee seeks to restrain chargor from enforcing security until primary proceedings conclude — Where disputed amount not paid into court — Whether property rights subject to charge.	
Rolleston Coal Holdings Ptv Ltd v ICRA Rolleston Ptv Ltd	197