INSOLVENCY LAW JOURNAL

Volume 29, Number 3

2021

EDITORIAL – General Editor: Dr David Morrison	119
ARTICLES	
Receivership: A New Direction – Myles Bayliss	
Receivership has a long history as a creditors' remedy and is an attractive proposition for secured creditors looking to safeguard their interests. However, receivership has been criticised due to focus and promotion of the interests of a singular creditor ahead of the collective pool of creditors. This is argued to render receivership inconsistent with modern insolvency procedures with adopt collective processes. It has been suggested that Australia follow England and abolish private receivership to address these issues. Drawing on evidence from receivership reform in England this article examines receivership in Australia to determine whether the appointment is consistent with the needs of modern insolvency processes or whether there is a need for abolition or reform.	121
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS – Editor: Dr David Morrison	
Back to Basics – s 588FA, Corporations Act: Is a Diminution of a Company's Assets a Pre-condition to the Existence of a Preference? The Mischief of the "Doctrine of Ultimate Effect" Exposed – Dr Garry J Hamilton	147
CATSI Act Insolvency Reform – Mary Wyburn	152
Likelihood of Insolvency and the Duties of Directors in the European Directive on Restructuring and Insolvency – Sérgio Coimbra Henriques	158
NEW ZEALAND REPORT – Section Editor: Professor Lynne Taylor	
The New Zealand Court of Appeal's Take on Insolvent Trading: Yan v Mainzeal Property and Construction Ltd (in liq)	170

(2021) 29 Insolv LJ 117