TRADE PRACTICES LAW JOURNAL

Volume 16, Number 2

June 2008

CDI	$T \cap I$	DT.	ΑТ
F.I 71	1 ()	KIA	A I

ARTICLES

The allure of exclusive rights: Insights from the C7 litigation – Kon Stellios and James Arnott

How should competition law approach the acquisition by a firm of exclusive rights to a scarce resource, particularly if that acquisition sends a competitor in the market out of business? This article addresses this question, with particular reference to the broadcast industry, by examining recent Australian jurisprudence on the topic, including the judgment in the C7 litigation. It argues that where exclusive rights are sold in a competitive tender process, a number of firms have a real chance of acquiring the rights when they next become available, and the rights are not sold for an unnecessarily lengthy period, it is very unlikely that the transaction will have the effect, or likely effect, of substantially lessening competition.

82

Crime does not pay but hard-core cartel conduct may: Why it should be criminalised – Dr Adrian Hoel

It is over five years since the Dawson Committee recommended that criminal sanctions for hard-core cartel conduct be introduced into the Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth) to run alongside the existing civil penalties. Over four years ago, the Howard Government agreed, in principle, to introduce these changes. Since the 2004 federal election until 2007, the Howard Government had a majority in both Houses of Parliament, as well as bipartisan support for this legislation, and over three years ago, it decided how hard-core conduct should be defined. Despite all this, it did not introduce a Bill, or actually pass any such legislation. In October 2007, a number of senior executives, as well as the owner of the Visy group of companies, Richard Pratt, agreed to admit to what was the biggest known example of cartel conduct in Australian history. There has been a great deal of public debate, involving politicians and the media about the proceedings and the people caught up in them. This article will discuss the proposed amendments and their background. It will also revisit the major rationales which were held by the Dawson Committee to justify their introduction, as well discussing the nature of white-collar crime in general. The Visy proceedings and the public debate surrounding them will be used as backdrop for this discussion. Lastly, the article will discuss what justifications there may have been for the Howard Government's failure to implement criminal sanctions despite having publicly declared its willingness to do so, and the likelihood of the Rudd Government doing so in the future.

102

The purpose of this article is to examine the relationship between competition and intellectual property (IP) in an Australian context. First, the background to competition policy and IP law reforms in Australia is briefly described. Then the different forms and nature of IP rights (as compared with real property rights) is examined, and possible tensions between the goals of IP laws and competition policy are considered. This is followed by an examination of some High Court cases dealing with rights or IP rights (and one United States Supreme Court case), as well as arguments and counterarguments about whether IP rights should be presumed to give rise to a finding of substantial market power. In the last section of the article, some of the findings of the Intellectual Property Competition Review Committee are considered and the treatment of IP rights in the two primary access regimes in Australia's competition law legislation, the national access regime and the telecommunications specific access regime are looked at, as well as s 51(3) of the Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth). 116 Promoting ethical business conduct: The case for reforming section 51AC - Frank Zumbo The operation of s 51AC of the Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth) has long been the subject of much debate. From the earliest days where the section's enactment was welcomed by those seeking a new legislative framework for the promotion of ethical business conduct until more recent years when such hopes have well and truly faded, discussion about the nature and scope of s 51AC has been ongoing and, at times, controversial. With s 51AC now approaching its 10th anniversary, it is timely to review recent amendments to the

Competition v intellectual property: Uneasy allies or natural adversaries?

ACCESS TO SERVICES

- Dr Mitchell Landrigan

BHP Billiton Iron Ore Pty Ltd v National Competition Council: Defining the	
production process exclusion – Charlotte Ahearn	142

132

section and to consider possible reforms and alternatives to the section to ensure that Australia has an effective and well understood legislative framework for promoting ethical business conduct.

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Online auctions and the perils of changing tyres: consumer transactions and the	
law – Bernard McCabe	147

DEFECTIVE GOODS

Lack of instructions causes manufacturers severe headaches – Elizabeth Olsson 15	15	1
--	----	---

CASE NOTE

Not	worth	the	paper	it	was	written	on	•••	when	admissions	mean	
nothi	ng - Sab	rina N	g							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		155

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Trade Practices Law Journal*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to tplj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- · Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 7,000 words for articles or 3,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An
 abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each manuscript is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2 Cases

- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- For international cases best references only should be included.

3. Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4. Books should be cited as follows:

Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5. Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
- 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6. Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit $\underline{\text{http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/}}$ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Trade Practices Law Journal comprises four parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1039-3277

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW