TORT LAW REVIEW

Volume 17, Number 3

November 2009

NI	$\Gamma\Gamma$	7
-17		١

ARTICLES

Apology legislation: Oiling the wheels of tort – *Craig Brown*

Recently, Ontario joined several other Canadian jurisdictions, as well as some in the United States and Australia, in enacting legislation providing that an apology by the defendant in a tort action may not be used by the plaintiff as evidence of an express or implied admission of liability by the plaintiff or by the defendant's liability insurer as grounds for denying cover. In this article the author considers this legislation as it applies to negligence law. He argues that, by promoting conditions conducive to speedier, less expensive resolution of negligence claims, the legislation has the potential to advance significantly the putative goals of negligence law. To the extent that cases are settled more quickly, compensation is made available more promptly and at less expense in terms of legal fees. To the extent that the legislation encourages a genuine apology, it encourages acceptance of responsibility and a resolve not to repeat the mistake. This advances the goal of specific deterrence while publicity surrounding the matter promotes general deterrence. By its nature, an apology given and accepted amounts to appeasement and reflects aspects of both restorative and corrective justice. 127

The scope and rationale of the principle that the defendant "takes his victim as he **finds him"** – Mark Stiggelbout

This article discusses the surprisingly neglected principle that the defendant "takes his victim as he finds him". After mapping out the scope of the principle, three main conclusions are drawn. First, the principle is not synonymous with the "eggshell skull" rule. The eggshell skull rule applies in one of several categories of case under the broader "take as one finds" principle. Secondly, the principle applies to contractual claims as well as those in tort, and to claims concerning property damage as well as those regarding personal injury. Thirdly, the principle corresponds to a familiar hierarchy of values within the common law, finding most favour in the personal injury context, slightly less in the context of property damage and considerably less in the pure economic loss context. It is argued that, even where its operation is most controversial, the principle is justifiable. English law is the primary focus.

140

The tort of torture – François Larocque

This article proposes the judicial recognition of a new nominate tort of torture at common law. More specifically, it calls for the creation of a new cause of action in tort based in part on the internationally defined prohibition of torture and on a novel form of trespass or, alternatively, on the well-established tort of misfeasance in public office. Such a development would not only have the benefit of providing an analytical framework for civil actions with respect to torture, but would also allow litigants and courts to squarely address the normative specificities of torture on their own terms, rather than by forced analogy to existing categories, such as assault and battery. It is argued that the common

law's historical revulsion from torture as well as the peremptory prohibition of torture at international law compel the fashioning of a correspondingly distinct cause of action for the purpose of civil liability. It is also conceivable that a new misfeasance-inspired tort of torture might profitably recast the state immunity issue in more familiar terms for domestic courts, thereby perhaps allowing a fresh approach to the <i>State Immunity Act</i> in Canada.			
Indeterminate causes of personal injuries and probabilistic risk-based assessments – Po Jen Yap			
In this article, the author proposes the following doctrinal rule to explain those leading Commonwealth cases that involve issues of causal uncertainty arising from the limits of scientific knowledge: where it is scientifically impossible for causation to be proved on a balance of probability, causation should nonetheless be deemed established when the defendant's breach of duty has materially increased the risk of an injury that had transpired or where the statistical probability that the defendant caused the injury in question is material.	175		
VOLUME 17 – 2009			
Table of Authors: Articles	191		
Table of Authors: Sections	192		
Table of Cases			
Index	203		

Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, *The Tort Law Review*, at LTA.tortlrev@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences

It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be
downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, *The Tort Law Review*, Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, PO Box
3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook
Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the
letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review

• This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

- 1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
- 2. Unpointed style is to be used there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
- 3. Cases:
 - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
 - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
 - For "at" references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
 - For international cases best references only should be used.
- 4. **Legislation** is cited as follows:
 - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
- 5. Books are cited as follows:
 - Ross D, Ross on Crime (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ¹ Hayton D, "Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust" in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
 - ² Hayton, n 1, p 286.
- 6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
 - Kirby M, "The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence" (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 Trindade R and Smith R, "Modernising Australian Merger Analysis" (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
 - ⁴ Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
 - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
- 7. Internet references are cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Tort Law Review comprises three parts a year.

The journal is available for subscription via paper and/or online. An online subscription can include access to archived volumes of the journal dating back to 1993 and has the following benefits: all content is fully searchable; PDF versions are provided for easier reading; and users can subscribe to an RSS feed to be instantly informed of updates.

For further information on how to subscribe:

Visit <u>www.thomsonreuters.com.au</u>
Tel: 1300 304 195
Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Advertising inquiries:

Contact Andrew Parsons on (02) 8587 7462 or email a.parsons@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

Customer service and sales inquiries:

Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196 Web:www.thomsonreuters.com.au Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Head Office

100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© 2009 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1039-3285

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW