## AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS LAW REVIEW

Volume 47, Number 6

2019

## Function, Form, Fixed, Floating and Forge: Filtering Out Pre-PPSA Concepts in a Post-PPSA World – $Linda\ Widdup$

## The Floating Charge under the PPSA: The Current State of Play – Sheelagh McCracken

Since its recognition by 19th-century English courts, the floating charge has been a form of security favoured by lenders and corporate borrowers. Although the *Personal Property Securities Act 2009* (Cth) (PPSA) is generally acknowledged as modifying this charge when taken over personal property (as defined), both the manner and the extent of modification are controversial. This article contends that the floating charge over personal property is absorbed into the statutory regime as a security interest over present and after-acquired property, thereby attracting statutory attributes. It thus challenges judicial dicta recharacterising the floating charge (and other property interests constituting security interests) as a new statutory generic interest. As a matter of statutory construction, the property interest created by the parties operates under s 19 of the PPSA as a fixed, rather than floating, security interest. Consequently, the article further contends that the PPSA necessarily addresses the grantor's ability to deal with the collateral differently from the general law, and infers that additional statutory rules may be required to address commercial demands.

Registration Errors under the PPSA: A Case Law Inventory and Analysis – Martin Loyell and Oliver Radan

Registration of a financing statement on the Personal Property Securities Register is the most common way to perfect a security interest in personal property under the *Personal Property Securities Act 2009* (Cth). This article explores common registration errors and how these issues have been considered and addressed in recent case law. It then considers

(2019) 47 ABLR 401 401

| the various mechanisms for correcting registration errors and proposed reforms to address some of the most critical issues identified by small businesses and other stakeholders   | 442 |
|--|-----|
| The Use of Overseas Case Law in the Australian PPSA – David Brown  |     |
| As we mark the passing of a decade since enactment of the <i>Personal Property Securities Act</i> 2009 (Cth), this article examines how the Australian courts have drawn upon overseas case law, given the Act was clearly modelled, albeit with some key differences, on legislation in New Zealand and Canada, and was ultimately derived from the United States. The article concludes that there are a few key areas where the legislative provisions are identical or substantially similar, and therefore that overseas case law has been relevant and useful in developing the Australian jurisprudence, particularly in the first few years since the Act came into force. | 461 |
| VOLUME 47 – 2019   |     |
| Table of Authors – Articles  | 487 |
| Table of Authors – Sections  | 491 |
| Table of Cases   | 493 |
| Index  | 507 |

402 (2019) 47 ABLR 401