TORT LAW REVIEW

Volume 15, Number 1

March 2007

Pure economic loss: Back to basics in the 21st century – Keith Stanton	5			
Condoning wrongful suffering – Harvey Teff				
ARTICLES				
Australia's anachronistic advocates' immunity: Lessons from comparative tort law – Thalia Anthony				
The House of Lords and the New Zealand Court of Appeal have recently recognised the futility in upholding a common law immunity for legal advocates. However, the High Court of Australia in <i>D'Orta-Ekenaike v Victoria Legal Aid</i> (2005) 223 CLR 1 reasserted Australia's need to uphold this archaic privilege for the legal profession, ruling out lessons from comparable jurisdictions. The basis of this decision was finality in the trial process. However, the experiences of England, Canada and the United States demonstrate how finality can be preserved without immunity.	11			
The liability of professionals to third parties for negligent misstatement under consumer protection legislation: Outflanking the neighbourhood principle – $Norman\ Katter$				
Over the past 40 years, the common law with respect to negligent misstatement has developed control mechanisms to limit the potential ambit of liability of professional advisers. These controls recognise the volatility of words and their ability to cause widespread financial harm leading to an indeterminate liability to third parties. These controls on third party liability have been negated by legislation the fundamental object of which was the protection of consumers or clients against a provider of goods or services in a specific commercial transaction. The result for professionals is to open a Pandora's box of potential indeterminate liability. This article discusses the decision of the High Court of Australia on the liability of accountants and auditors in <i>Travel Compensation Fund v Tambree (t/as R Tambree & Assocs)</i> (2005) 224 CLR 627	31			
Civil litigation and repressed memory syndrome: How does forgetting impact on child sexual abuse cases? $-Julia\ Werren$				
This article focuses on civil child sexual assault cases and the complexities surrounding the contentious issues relating to repressed memory. It examines the concept of repression of memory from both an evidence and torts-based perspective. It argues that, even though there are dangers associated with repressed memories, this should not mean that the testimony is not admissible in civil trials. Rather, the court should make a determination as to admissibility in relation to prejudicial as opposed to probative considerations. Further, it argues that to make repressed memories inadmissible in tort cases would be unjust to genuine plaintiffs who have experienced repressed memories of childhood abuse. This argument is even more persuasive in the current litigation climate where the High Court of Australia is reportedly more defendant-friendly and in the light of recent tort reform	43			
resortand to reportedly more detendant menary and in the fight of recent toft felolin	т.)			

COMM	ENT -	Remigius	N Nwa	hueze

Interference with dead bodies and body parts: A separate cause of action in tort? 63

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *The Tort Law Review*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to tortlrev@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- · Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews.
 An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1 Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2 Cases:

- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: Mabo v Queensland [No 2] (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- · For international cases best references only should be included.

3 Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4 Books should be cited as follows:

Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5 Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
 - 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6 Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Tort Law Review comprises three parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1039-3285

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW