

---

## INDEX

---

**Advocates' immunity** – *see*

**Immunity of advocates**

**Anns test** – *see* **Duty of care**

### **Birth**

medical negligence in – *see*

**Psychiatric injury**

wrongful – *see* **Conception, wrongful**

**Breach of duty** – *see* **Duty of care**

### **Canada**

**contract negotiations** – *see*

**Contracts**

duty of care test – *see* **Duty of care**

insurers' duty of good faith – *see*

**Insurance**

### **Children**

disabled

costs of raising, 5-10

definition of disabled, 9

when disability arises, 9

unwanted – *see* **Conception, wrongful**

wrongful conception – *see*

**Conception, wrongful**

**Choice of law** – *see* **International torts**

**Class actions** – *see* **Tobacco litigation**

**Competition, unfair** – *see* **Unfair competition**

**Conception, wrongful**, 5-10

birth, difference in wrongful, 8

damages for, tests for, 6

disabled child, 5-10

definition of disabled, 9

when disability arises, 9

public attitudes, 7, 10

United States, in, 6-7

### **Contracts**

negotiations of, negligence in, 207-217

duty of care, 210-213

economic loss cases, as, 209, 210, 216

evaluations, negligent, 215-217

Martel Building Ltd v Canada, 207-217

policy for keeping negotiations at arm's length, 210-212

preparations, negligent, 213-215

tender documents, preparation of, 213-215

tort and contract, concurrence of, 216

### **Crown liability**, 14-37

common law, at, 14-18, 22-23, 26, 33-37

contract, in, 16

government employees, 17-18

statutory authorities, 17-18

tort, not liable in, 15-18

vicarious liability, 16-18

constitutional position

Constitution as authority to legislate, 27-28, 30-32

liability imposed by Constitution, 28-30, 33-34

pre-Mewett, 27-32

United States, in, 30-32

Crown, meaning of, 16-18

different treatment from subjects, 23-25

general reliance doctrine, 24-25

legislative act, 24

policy/operational distinction, 23-24

employees of government, liability of, 17-18, 21

Mewett, decision of, 32-37

negligence, in, 21-26  
 common law is source of liability, 22-23, 26, 33-37  
 different treatment from subjects, 23-25  
 United Kingdom, in, 24  
 statutory authorities, liability of, 17-18, 21 – *see also* Public authority liability  
 statutory basis for, 18-21, 22-23, 26, 33-37  
 ALRC recommendations, 36  
 Constitution as authority for, 27-28, 30-32  
 Constitution, liability imposed by, 28-30  
 federal jurisdiction, 20-21  
 government employees, 21  
 source of liability, not, 22-23, 26, 33-34  
 State jurisdiction, 19-21  
 statutory authorities, 21  
 treatment of Crown is same as subjects, 19-21, 36-37  
 treatment of Crown is same as subjects, 19-21, 36-37  
 United States, in, 30-32

### **Damages**

aggravated, 85, 86, 88, 93  
 contract, in, 88, 89, 90, 92  
 purpose of, 90  
 deceit, in, 89-93  
 economic loss, for pure, 90  
 exemplary, 93  
 insurers' duty of good faith, for breach of – *see* **Insurance**  
 physical injury, for, 90  
 practical joking, caused by – *see* **Practical joking**  
 profit, for loss of, 89-93  
 public authority negligence, for, 127-144 – *see also* **Public authority liability**  
 punitive, 85, 86, 87, 93  
 proportionality, 87-88  
 quantum of, 87-88

purpose of awarding, 90, 92-93  
 compensatory principle, 90  
 expectation loss, 90, 92  
 smoking, for – *see* **Tobacco litigation**  
 stress, for work-related, 163  
 tort, in  
 purpose of, 90, 92

### **Deceit**

damages in, 89-93 – *see also* **Damages**  
 practical joking, by way of – *see* **Practical joking**

### **Duty of care**

Anns test, 10-13, 210-213  
 Canada, reformulation of in, 10-13  
 English retreat from, 12  
 negligent misrepresentation, for, 12  
 pure economic loss, for, 12  
 breach of  
 distinction between duty and breach, 165-167  
 Canada, in, 10-13  
 English test, 12  
 judicial notice, 166  
 negotiating contracts, in – *see* **Contracts**  
 obvious risk, where, 164-165  
 sporting injury cases, in, 163-167  
 experience of player, 164-165  
 rules, effect of, 165

### **Economic loss**

Anns test for, 12  
 contract negotiations, through, 209, 210, 216  
 damages, 90  
 tests for, 6

### **Employment**

- stress caused by, 161-163
- Evaluations, negligent**, 215-217
- Foreseeability**
  - psychiatric injury, of – *see* **Psychiatric injury**
  - requirement of for duty of care, 11, 12
- Governments
  - liability of - *see* **Crown liability**
- Immunity of advocates**, 188-206
  - arguments against, 198-200
  - abolition may raise Bar standard, 199
  - anachronism, is an, 200
  - anomalous, 198
  - derogation from rule of law, 198
  - distinction between work of advocate and of solicitor is unsatisfactory, 200
  - ethical standards declining, 199
  - scope is uncertain, 198
  - undermines confidence in legal system, 198-199
  - unfair, 199
  - arguments supporting, 193-197
  - analogy with other participants in the judicial process, 193, 197
  - cab-rank rule, 193, 196-197
  - collateral attack on original decision, 193, 195-196
  - efficient administration of justice, 193-195
  - foundation of, 190
  - Giannarelli v Wraith*, 188
  - facts in, 192-193
  - options if immunity is retained, 206
  - reconsideration of, 189, 200-201
  - High Court by, 201-205
    - arguments against, 202-205
    - doctrine of precedent, departure from, 204
    - governmental inquiries, 204
    - inappropriate forum, 203, 205
    - re-expression of common law is
      - retrospective, 204
      - undemocratic, 202-203
    - arguments supporting, 201
    - scope of, 190-192
    - “intimately connected” test, 191
    - out-of-court work, 190, 191
    - solicitors not immune, 195, 200
- Injury, psychiatric** – *see* **Psychiatric injury**
- Insurance**
  - insureds’ duty of disclosure, 86
  - insurers’ duty of good faith, 85-88
  - aggravated damages for breach, 85, 86, 88
  - contractual duty
    - damages for, 88
    - relationship with, 87
  - no liability under policy, where, 87
  - punitive damages for breach, 85, 86, 87
    - proportionality, 87-88
    - quantum of, 87-88
- Intention**
  - to cause harm – *see* **Practical joking**
- International torts**
  - applicable law, 145-156
  - Canada, in, 148, 150
  - damages, consideration of, 151-152
  - double actionability rule, 145, 146
  - England, in, 149, 151
  - inappropriate forum test, 152-

- 153
  - meaning of, 152
  - legal aid or contingency fees, availability of, 154-155
  - oppressive or vexatious, 154-155
  - place of tort, 146-151
  - practical considerations, 154-155
  - public policy, 147
  - Singapore, in, 149
  - United States, in, 148
- Joke, practical** – *see* **Practical joking**
- Jurisdiction**
  - choice of - *see* **International torts**
- Liability**
  - Crown, of** - *see* **Crown liability governments, of Australian** – *see* **Crown liability**
  - vicarious – *see* **Vicarious liability**
- Malice**, 177, 178, 183
  - criminal context, in, 179
- Martel Building Ltd v Canada**, 207-217
  - evaluations, 215-217
  - facts and issues, 207-208
  - negotiations, 209-213
  - tendering process, 213-215
- Medical negligence**
  - psychiatric injury, causing – *see* **Psychiatric injury**
  - wrongful conception – *see* **Conception, wrongful**
- Misrepresentation** – *see* **Negligent misrepresentation**
- Negligence**
  - Crown liability in – *see* **Crown liability**
  - duty of care – *see* **Duty of care**
  - medical – *see* **Medical negligence**
  - negotiations of contracts, in – *see* **Contracts**
- Negligent misrepresentation**
  - Anns test for, 12
- Negotiations of contracts** – *see* **Contracts**
- Nervous shock** – *see* **Psychiatric injury**
- Practical joking**
  - calculated to cause harm, 169, 179-184, 185
  - meaning of, 169, 176, 177, 183, 185
  - recklessness included, 170, 171, 185
  - criminal liability for, 178-179
  - damage required, 169, 175
  - distress not sufficient, 169, 170
  - physical harm or psychiatric illness, 169, 176, 179-186
  - deceit, tort of, 174
  - intention
  - meaning of, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 183, 185
  - objective, 171, 177
  - requirement of, 169, 170, 171
  - malice, 177, 178, 183
  - criminal context, in, 179
  - nervous shock, difference, 182-183
  - recklessness
  - objective, 171, 185
  - subjective, 185
  - remoteness, 182, 183, 184

- trespass to the person, 170, 180, 186
- wilful, meaning of, 175, 183
- Wilkinson v Downton, 168-187
  - *see also* Wilkinson v Downton
- Privacy, tort of**, 81, 82, 83, 85
  - distinction between natural and artificial persons, 81, 83, 85
  - New Zealand, in, 83
  - trespass distinguished, 84
  - United Kingdom, in, 83
  - United States, in, 83
- Profit**
  - damages for loss of, 89-93 – *see also* Damages
- Proximity**
  - psychiatric injury, for – *see* **Psychiatric injury**
  - test for, 11, 12
- Psychiatric injury**
  - employment cases, 39, 40, 161-163
  - foreseeability of, 41-42, 48, 161
  - primary victims, for, 42, 162
  - secondary victims, for, 42, 162
  - medical negligence cases, in, 45-70
  - admission of liability, 47-48, 53
  - aftermath principle, 50, 53-54, 58, 62
  - Australian cases, 46-50
    - Brown v Mount Barker Soldiers' Hospital, 46-47
    - Greco v Arvind, 47-48
    - Strelec v Nelson, 48-49
    - Woods v Lownds, 49-50
  - communication of bad news, 43-44, 49, 52, 54, 58, 64, 67-69
    - AB v Tameside & Glossop Health Authority, 68-69
    - Allin v City and Hackney Health Authority, 68
    - false, where news is, 68
    - Lew v Mount Saint Joseph Hospital Society, 69
    - method of communication, 68-69
    - true bad news, 68-69
  - English cases, 50-60
    - Farrell v Sutton and Wandsworth Health Authority, 59-60
    - Kralj v McGrath, 52-53
    - Sion v Hampstead Health Authority, 56-57
    - Tan v East London and City Health Authority, 57-59
    - Taylor v Somerset Health Authority, 53-54
    - Tredget v Bexley Health Authority, 54-56
  - event, occurrence of, 49, 54-56, 58, 60
  - failure to treat, 49
  - fear for the future, 69-70
    - Stephen v Riverside Health Authority, 70
  - Pang Koi Fa v Lim Djoe Phing, 61-63
  - primary victim cases – *see* primary victim cases
  - proximity – *see* proximity
  - requirements
    - relationship, pre-existing, 45, 46-47, 59, 63
  - secondary victim cases – *see* secondary victim cases
  - sudden shock rule, 48-49, 50, 51, 52-53, 54-57, 62, 64
  - witness negligence, unlikely that relatives, 45
  - practical joke, caused by – *see* **Practical joking**
  - primary victim cases, 38, 42-43, 64-65
    - foreseeability, 42
    - parents as, 64
    - proximity requirements, 43-45
    - aftermath principle, 50, 53-54

- ordinary fortitude of victim, 44, 66
- secondary victim claims, 43-44
- shock-induced injury, 44-45, 48
- recognisable psychiatric illness, 41
- secondary victim cases, 39, 42-43, 65-67
  - father as secondary victim in birth cases, 46, 47, 66
  - foreseeability, 42
  - Krishna v Loustos, 67
  - Marchlewski v Hunter Area Health Service, 65-66
  - Marinko v Masri, 66
  - mother as in birth cases, 60
  - proximity, 43-44
    - own senses, 43, 66
    - personal perception, 43
    - relationship with primary victim, 43, 51, 61
    - told of news, 43-44, 49, 52, 54, 58, 64
  - stress, work-related, 161-163
- Public authority liability**, 127-144
  - *see also* **Crown liability**
  - balancing public and private interests, 128, 136-138, 140-141, 143-144
  - discretion exercised, where, 133-136, 139
  - duties, whether mere targets, 130-131, 136, 143
  - European Convention on Human Rights, impact of, 136-140, 142, 143
  - free-standing causes of action, 131-133
  - proportionality, 136-144
  - European law influence, 136-140
  - limits of, 143-144
  - policy considerations, 140-142
  - United Kingdom, doctrine in, 138-144
  - public law duty, 133-136
  - resource allocation, 127, 140-142
  - statutory presumptions, 128-130, 143
  - Wednesbury test, 133-136, 139
- Smoking** – *see* **Tobacco litigation**
- Sporting injuries**
  - duty of care – *see* **Duty of care**
- Stress, work-related**, 161-163
- Tender documents** – *see* **Contracts**
- Tobacco litigation**
  - Australia, in, 94-95
  - United States, in, 94-126
  - advertising, 121-122, 124
  - background, 95-99
  - Canada, lawsuits by, 119-120, 123-124
  - class actions
    - common issues,
  - determination of, 106
  - difficulties with, 106
  - injured/deceased smokers, for, 105-108
    - damages, 107-108
    - Engle v RJ Reynolds Tobacco Co, 106-108, 123
    - smokers not yet claiming diseases, for, 108-110, 123
    - medical monitoring, 108, 109
    - smoking-cessation
  - options, 109
  - damages
    - compensatory, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 107-108, 117, 118
    - medical expenses, 103
    - punitive, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 103, 107-108, 109
  - early litigation, 96

- assumption of risk defence, 96
  - European Union, lawsuits by, 120, 123-124
  - health care reimbursement cases, 110-115, 123
    - foreign governments, 113, 114
    - private health insurers, 113-115
    - State Attorney-General cases, 110-113
      - insufficient direct connection, 111
      - global settlement inside US, 111-112, 121
      - Master Settlement Agreement, 112, 121, 124-125
      - unions providing health care benefits, 113
      - US Government as health insurer, 113, 114
  - individual smoker lawsuits, 99-105, 123
    - damages
      - compensatory, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103
      - medical expenses, 103
      - punitive, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 103
    - defence victories, 103-105
      - assumption of risk, 96, 105
      - failure to prove causal connection, 105
    - plaintiff victories, 99-103
      - California, 100-102
      - Carter, 99-100
      - Florida, 103
      - Kansas, 103
      - Oregon, 102
  - market-share liability, 116
  - Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization
    - statute, application of, 118-
  - *see* **Conception, wrongful**
    - 119, 120
    - recent litigation, 97-99
      - addiction, failure to warn of, 97-98, 109
      - children, marketing to, 97-98, 108
      - low-tar cigarettes, 98, 102, 110
      - second-hand smoke suits, 115-118
        - damages, 117, 118
        - difficulties with, 115-116
        - flight attendant cases, 116-118, 123
      - smuggling complaints, 119-120, 123-124
      - tobacco industry as plaintiff, 121-123, 124
- Trespass**
  - constructive trust created by, 84, 85
  - person, to the, 170, 180, 186
  - privacy, distinguishing tort of, 84
  - restrain from using fruits of, 81, 84-85
- Unfair competition**, tort of, 81, 82, 83, 85
- Vicarious liability**
  - Crown, of, 16-18 - *see also* **Crown liability**
  - master tort theory, 16
- Wilkinson v Downton**, 168-187
  - cause of action, 175-178
  - damages in, 172
  - facts of, 171-174
- Wrongful conception** - *see* **Conception, wrongful**