PUBLIC LAW REVIEW

Volume 21, Number 3

September 2010

CO		

Extending foreign fishing offences beyond the AFZ: International law obligations and statutory construction – Rachel Baird			
State referrals and terrorism law reform paralysis: Cause and effect? – Andrew Lynch	155		
Anti-People Smuggling and Other Measures Act 2010 (Cth): A comment – Hannah Quadrio	159		
ARTICLES			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
This article explores how local government might be given recognition in the Commonwealth Constitution. After providing an overview of the history of, and the failed attempts at, recognition of local government in the Commonwealth and State Constitutions, the article examines the three main contemporary reform options. They are: symbolic recognition of local government in a new preamble to the Commonwealth Constitution; institutional recognition of local government in the body of the Commonwealth Constitution; and financial recognition of local government in the body of the Constitutional Constitution.			
Regulating parties in dispute: Analysing the effectiveness of the Commonwealth Model Litigant Rules monitoring and enforcement processes – Michelle Taylor-Sands and Camille Cameron			
In recent years, civil justice reform discourse in Australia has increasingly focused on improving the conduct of litigants. The Commonwealth Model Litigant Rules are an example of a code of conduct for litigants. This article evaluates the effectiveness of the Model Litigant Rules in regulating Commonwealth litigant behaviour. In particular, it focuses on how effectively the Rules detect and address non-compliance. The Office of Legal Services Coordination (OLSC), within the Commonwealth Attorney-General's Department is responsible for monitoring compliance with the Model Litigant Rules. This article explores the nature of the breaches of the Rules recorded by the OLSC and compares them with breaches reported by courts and tribunals. The article then argues that the substantial gap between breaches recorded by the OLSC and those reported by courts and tribunals reveals some weaknesses in the monitoring and enforcement processes of the Rules. The article concludes with some recommendations for improving these processes			
BOOK REVIEW			
Constitutional Review under the UK Human Rights Act – Reviewed by Kris Gledhill	206		
DEVELOPMENTS	211		

(2010) 21 PLR 147

148 (2010) 21 PLR 147

Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, *Public Law Review*, at LTA.plr@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences

It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be
downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, Public Law Review, Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, PO Box 3502,
Rozelle, NSW 2039.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook
Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the
letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 8,000-10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,500 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review

• This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

- 1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
- 2. Unpointed style is to be used there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
- 3. Cases:
 - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
 - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
 - For "at" references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
 - For international cases best references only should be used.
- 4. **Legislation** is cited as follows:
- Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
- 5. Books are cited as follows:
 - Ross D, Ross on Crime (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ¹ Hayton D, "Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust" in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
 - ² Hayton, n 1, p 286.
- 6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
 - Kirby M, "The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence" (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ³ Trindade R and Smith R, "Modernising Australian Merger Analysis" (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
 - ⁴ Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
 - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
- 7. **Internet references** are cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

(2010) 21 PLR 147 149

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Public Law Review comprises four parts a year.

The journal is available for subscription via paper and/or online. An online subscription can include access to archived volumes of the journal dating back to 1990 and has the following benefits: all content is fully searchable; PDF versions are provided for easier reading; users can subscribe to an RSS feed to be instantly informed of updates.

For further information on how to subscribe:

Visit <u>www.thomsonreuters.com.au</u>
Tel: 1300 304 195
Email: <u>LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com</u>

Advertising inquiries:

Contact Andrew Parsons on (02) 8587 7462 or email a.parsons@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

Customer service and sales inquiries:

Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196 Web: <u>www.thomsonreuters.com.au</u> Email: <u>LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com</u>

Head Office

100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© 2010 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co. Published in Sydney

ISSN 1034-3024

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW

150 (2010) 21 PLR 147