

PUBLIC LAW REVIEW

Volume 20, Number 1

March 2009

COMMENTS – *Dan Meagher*

Characterisation of corporations for constitutional purposes – <i>Trent Glover</i>	5
Australia's extended continental shelf: What implications for Antarctica? – <i>Alan D Hemmings</i> and <i>Tim Stephens</i>	9
Case note: Qarase v Bainimarama – <i>Nicola McGarrity</i>	16

ARTICLES

The meaning of legislation: Context, purpose and respect for fundamental rights – *The Hon Murray Gleeson AC*

On 29 August 2008, the Hon Murray Gleeson AC retired from the High Court after 10 years as Chief Justice of Australia. One issue that increasingly preoccupied Australian courts and judges during this period was the interpretation of legislation, generally, and with particular reference to the protection of rights. Murray Gleeson's own contribution to the development and application of the principle of legality, in *Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth* (2003) 211 CLR 476 at 492 and *Al-Kateb v Godwin* (2004) 219 CLR 562 at 577 is likely to leave an enduring mark in Australian law. To commemorate this contribution, the *Public Law Review* here reprints his final speech in Victoria as Chief Justice of Australia, delivered as the Victoria Law Foundation Oration on 31 July 2008.

26

Advocating terrorist acts and Australian censorship law – *David Hume* and *George Williams*

This article examines how Australian law regulates material that advocates terrorist acts. Amendments made in 2007 to the *Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Act 1995* (Cth) direct Commonwealth classification authorities to ban publications which counsel, instruct in or praise terrorism. These amendments are expressed in vague, and potentially overbroad, language, creating a risk they will be construed to require authorities to ban an overly broad range of publications. These amendments are examined in the light of the existing Australian classification law, beginning with an overview of the Australian classification and censorship system. The text of the amendments is then examined, and how the amendments might be understood in the light of the existing jurisprudence. It is found that there is reason to think that the amendments will be read down so as not to overly restrict valuable speech.

37

To the advancement of thy glory?: A constitutional and policy critique of parliamentary prayers – *Gonzalo Villalta Puig* and *Steven Tudor*

Under current standing orders, the House of Representatives and the Senate begin each sitting with a prayer for Parliament and the Lord's Prayer. This practice is common in almost all the other legislatures in Australia (and a number elsewhere). It raises the question of the proper relationship between the state and religion in Australia. Thus, this article first examines the constitutionality of parliamentary prayers only to conclude that the practice is constitutional. The article then proceeds to a policy critique of the desirability of parliamentary prayers. First, the article presents the arguments in favour of

the abolition of parliamentary prayers. Then, the article presents and critiques the arguments in favour of their retention. Finally, the article proposes a secular revision of the relevant standing orders that allows for silent prayer or reflection.	56
BOOK REVIEW – <i>Janet McLean</i>	
Towards a Public Law of Tort – <i>Mark Aronson</i>	79
DEVELOPMENTS	84

Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, *Public Law Review*, at lta.plr@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences

- It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed separately to the Production Editor, *Public Law Review*, Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039.

Letters to the Editor

- By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 8,000-10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,500 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- **Graphics** (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review

- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. **Levels of headings** must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
2. **Unpointed style** is to be used – there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
3. **Cases:**
 - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
 - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
 - For “at” references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
 - For international cases best references only should be used.
4. **Legislation** is cited as follows:
 - *Trade Practices Act 1974* (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
5. **Books** are cited as follows:
 - Ross D, *Ross on Crime* (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
 - In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ¹ Hayton D, “Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust” in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
 - ² Hayton, n 1, p 286.
6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
 - Kirby M, “The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence” (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
 - In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ³ Trindade R and Smith R, “Modernising Australian Merger Analysis” (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
 - ⁴ Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
 - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
7. **Internet references** are cited as follows:
 - Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], <http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp> viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The *Public Law Review* comprises four parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomsonreuters.com.au
Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries:
Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE
100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009
Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



THOMSON REUTERS

© 2009 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited
ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 1034–3024

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW