PUBLIC LAW REVIEW

Volume 17, Number 3

September 2006

COMMENTS – Fiona Wheeler	
Appropriations and the legislative process	173
"Necessity" in the eye of the beholder: Leaving constitutional questions undecided \ldots	177
S v Secretary, DIMIA – Common law claims against the Commonwealth and section $39B(1)$ of the Judiciary Act	182
ARTICLES	
The statutory protection of rights and parliamentary sovereignty: Guidance from the United Kingdom? – $Geoffrey\ Lindell$	
This article examines statutory mechanisms adopted in the United Kingdom for protecting rights derived from its membership of the European Union and the <i>European Convention on Human Rights</i> . Despite its interpretative character, the first mechanism considered has enabled British courts to "disapply" legislation that is inconsistent with earlier legislation notwithstanding the normal rule in favour of the implied repeal of legislation. An attempt is made to explain this development and its consistency with parliamentary sovereignty. The second mechanism authorises the courts to declare legislation to be incompatible with a protected right even though the legislation is not invalid. The article considers whether both mechanisms can be adopted in Australia to protect human rights and other rights. This includes whether such declarations can be granted by courts exercising federal jurisdiction given the concept of a "matter" which conditions the exercise of such jurisdiction under Ch III of the Australian <i>Constitution</i> .	188
So far no good: The regulatory failure of criminal racial vilification laws in Australia – $Dan\ Meagher$	
This article considers the efficacy of the two main legislative models in Australia which make racial vilification a crime. To this end, it considers whether the laws are compatible with the protection and promotion of freedom of speech; whether they sit comfortably within the existing criminal law frameworks; and whether the text of the offences is sufficiently clear and precise. It considers that the current models are fundamentally flawed and ought to be repealed, arguing, instead, for a particular kind of penalty enhancement statute.	209
BOOK REVIEWS – Janet McLean War Law – International Law and Armed Conflict by Michael Byers	233
DEVEL ODMENITS	227

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Public Law Review*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to plr@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.

Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.

Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.

Manuscript should not exceed 8,000-10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,500 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.

Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.

Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.

Articles published are critically appraised or reviewed by an academic or professional peer of the author for the purpose of maintaining the standards of the journal.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2. Cases:

Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference. Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.

"At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.

Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].

For international cases best references only should be included.

3. Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4. Books should be cited as follows:

Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, *The Law of Employment* (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55. In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:

- 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5. 5. Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:

6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.

7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6. Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Public Law Review comprises four parts a year.

Customer service and sales enquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



ISSN 1034-3024

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW