Index

Aboriginal people – see also Gibson case (WA); Interrogation; Police interviews	intergenerational succession in Chinese Australian family businesses, 137–138			
access to dispute resolution, 131–132	Western dispute resolution models, 130–136			
Advocates' immunity future (Australia), 98–121 Attwells v Jackson Lalic Lawyers Pty Ltd, 110–113 conclusion, 121 future of immunity, 115–121 concept of finality, 115–117 legislative encroachment, 117–121 uncertainty as to protected conduct, 117 Kendirjian v Lepore, 113–115 post-D'Orta decisions, 104–110	culturally & linguistically diverse (CALD) communities' access to services, 132–133 culture as excuse in family disputes, 133–134 faith-based ADR, 134–136 Indigenous Australians' access, 131–132 tokenism or inclusiveness, 130–131 Appeal Gibson case (WA), 34–35			
breadth of causes of action, 104–107	court orders, 35			
breadth of conduct, 107–109 narrow approach, 109–110 transformation of immunity, 104	Arraignment Gibson case (WA), 31–32			
pre-D'Orta decisions, 99–104 D'Orte-Ekenaike v Victoria Legal Aid, 101–104	Aviemore Review (WA), 33–34			
Giannarelli v Wraith, 100–101	Barristers – see Counsel			
origin of doctrine, 99				
Alcohol Gibson case (WA), 22	Behaviourally anchored description judges' roles, 220–225			
judicial alcohol use & dependence, 161–162	Clinical neuropsychologist expert evidence in Gibson case (WA), 34			
Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) cultural considerations (literature review), 122–138	Clinical psychologist expert evidence in Gibson case (WA), 34			
Family Dispute Resolution Professionals (FDRPs), 122–130 accreditation & obligations, 122–123	Co-mediation family disputes, 129–130			
analysis of culture & parties' expectations prior to mediation, 125–128	Communication – see also Silence, right to judicial, 210 skills, 211–212			
attitudes to culture, 123–125 children's rights to culture, 128–129 co-mediation, 129–130	Conferences with lawyers Gibson case (WA), 26–27, 30			
money, 136–138 conclusion, 138 Fast Asian children, 136–137	Confessions false – see False confessions Gibson case (WA), 36–37			

(2019) 28 JJA 245 245

Conviction Gibson case (WA), 31–32	stakeholders, 184–189 representation on, 172–174 Cultural context alternative dispute resolution – see Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)			
wrongful conviction, 41–42 Corruption and Crime Commissioner (WA) Gibson case, 32				
Counsel evidence Gibson case (WA), 35 immunity – see Advocates' immunity	Gibson case (WA), 4 Dispute resolution – see Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)			
Court systems governance, 69–97, 169–203 judicial control of court administration, 72–82 arguments in favour, 72–75	Drunkenness Gibson case (WA), 22 judicial alcohol use & dependence, 161–162			
judicial administrative accountability, 77–82 developing effective system, 77 judicial independence, 77–81 new elaboration, 81–82	Electronically recorded interviews (EROIs) application to exclude Gibson case (WA), 27–30			
problems associated with, 76–77 models of court governance, 82–97 Australian federal courts (autonomous model), 82–84	Emotion – see under Judicial officers English language Gibson case (WA), 4, 7–8, 22			
Canada (executive/guardian model), 91–92	EROIs -see Electronically recorded interviews			
England & Wales (minority partnership model), 89–91 Ireland (majority partnership model),	Expert evidence Gibson case (WA), 34			
86–88 Netherlands (Judicial Council), 92–97 integrated internal governance model, 94–96 Minister, 96–97 policy frameworks, 97, 170–189 South Australia (Judicial Council), 84–86	False confessions coerced, 40–42 Gibson case (WA), 22–43 compliant police-induced, 40 Gibson case (WA), 37–38 internalised police-induced, 40–41 voluntary, 40			
Victoria (case study), 71–72 conclusion, 200–208 Court Services Victoria, 189–200	Family Dispute Resolution Professionals (FDRPs) – see under Alternative dispute resolution			
outline, 71–72 policy framework (Judicial Council), 170–189 aims & competences, 170–172 functions & internal court administration, 174–181 Minister's role, 181–184 model framework in motion, 189 relationship with executive &	Female lawyers, 44–50 conclusion, 50 feminine suitability, 47–49 commercial lawyers, 48–49 criminal lawyers, 47–48 professional problems, 44–47 enforceable rights, 47 glass ceiling, 46–47			

246 (2019) 28 JJA 245

Judges – <i>see also</i> Judicial officers roles, 207–225
court participants, 215
guiding principles, 209
implications for therapeutic jurisprudence
220
interaction with therapeutic jurisprudence,
214
judicial communication, 210
legal actor contributions
behaviourally anchored description,
220–225
court checklist, 222–225
legitimacy of justice & procedural justice, 212–213
social distancing, 213–214
stages of interaction, 210–212
communication skills, 211–212
solution-focused judging, 210-211
therapeutic alliance, 217–218
importance of, 218–219
measuring, 219–220
therapeutic jurisprudence, 208-209
therapy outcomes, 215
types of therapy, 215–217
stress – see Judicial stress & wellbeing
Judicial Councils – see Court systems
Judicial officers – see also Judges;
Magistrates
conduct
guidance & emotion, 226–239
conclusion, 238–239
current guidance & future directions,
230–238 AIJA Guide/ABA Code, 230–232
benchbooks, 232–233
disciplinary & complaints bodies,
236–237
extra-curial judicial comment, 233
judicial appointment & assignment, 238
judicial education, 233–235 judicial performance evaluation,
235–236
perceptions & experiences of judicial
officers, 229–230
control of court administration - see Court
systems
stress – see Judicial stress & wellbeing

(2019) 28 JJA 245 247

Judicial stress & wellbeing empirical research (Australia), 141–168 analysis, 153–162 alcohol use & dependence, 161–162 burnout, 157–159	Murder Gibson case (WA), 22 indictment, 27–31			
depressive & anxious symptoms, 156–157 non-specific psychological distress, 154–146	Neuropsychologist, clinical expert evidence in Gibson case (WA), 34			
perceived stress & wellbeing, 153–154 secondary traumatic stress, 159–161	Pintupi language use of interview friends, 12–13			
discussion, 162–167 future research, 166–167 key findings, 163–165 strengths & limitations, 165–166	Police interviews – see also Interrogation Gibson case (WA), 22–26, 38–39 with Aboriginal suspects, 5–6			
methodology of study, 143–147 survey, 147–153	Pre-trial hearings Gibson case (WA), 22, 27–28			
Language – see Linguistics	Psychologist, clinical expert evidence in Gibson case (WA), 34			
Lawyers – see also Counsel; Solicitors	Psychology – see Judicial stress & wellbeing			
conferences with – see Conferences with lawyers female – see Female lawyers immunity – see Advocates' immunity	Public opinion sentencing, 54–55			
Legal actor contributions – see under Judges	Right to silence – see Silence, right to			
Linguistics – see also Interpreters; Pintupi language Gibson case (WA), 4 English-language proficiency, 4, 7–8, 22	Sentencing aggravating or mitigating factors judges' & jurors' views, 51–66 appellate guidance, 52–54 mental disorder & intellectual disability, 52–54			
Magistrates	addiction & intoxication, 53–54			
Manslaughter Gibson case (WA), 22 instructions to plead guilty, 31 offer to plead guilty, 30 Mediation – see Alternative dispute	conclusion, 66 discussion of findings, 62–65 mental & cognitive impairment, 62–64 substance abuse, 64–65 public opinion, 54–55 study method, response & analysis, 55–56 study results, 56–62 relevance of different factors, 56–58			
resolution (ADR)	views in offender's specific case, 58–62			
Miscarriage of justice Gibson case (WA), 22	Silence, right to communicating to Aboriginal suspects, 4–21			
Money – see under Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)	checking suspect's understanding, 14–15 communicating right to silence, 8–11 right to silence in police interviews, 4–5			

248 (2019) 28 JJA 245

Social distancing

judges' roles, 213-214

Solicitors

evidence

Gibson case (WA), 35

Therapeutic alliance – see under Judges

Therapeutic jurisprudence

judges' roles, 208–209, 214, 220

Understanding of rights

Gibson case (WA), 4, 14–15

Unlawful killing

Gibson case (WA), 31

Victoria

court governance – see Court systems

Vulnerable suspects

Gibson case (WA), 38

Witness statements

application to exclude Gibson case (WA), 31

Women - see Female lawyers

Wrongful conviction

Gibson case (WA), 41–42

(2019) 28 JJA 245