LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAW JOURNAL

Volume 12, Number 3

February 2007

	NO	

Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd v Cessnock City Council [No 2]			
ARTICLES			
Local Government Law Journal update	139		
Planning Principles in the New South Wales Land and Environment Court			
The Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 2006 (Cth) and the Commonwealth government's energy policy framework – Robert Murphy and Zoe Grimmond	145		
The Energy Efficiency Opportunities Act 2006 (Cth) (EEOA) forms part of the Commonwealth government's energy policy framework. The government has identified that business energy use accounts for around 80% of Australia's energy consumption. The EEOA has been developed to require large users of energy to conduct self assessments encourage those users to implement cost effective energy efficiency opportunities. Encouraging improved energy efficiency by large users is an attempt to ensure secure and environmentally sustainable energy supply to 2030, benefiting both the economy and the environment. The EEOA sets up an assessment and reporting regime for major energy users falling under the scheme, but does not however, impose any obligations that require entities to alter energy usage. The Commonwealth government has appropriated \$16.88 million over five years (from the 2004-2005 financial year) to introduce the scheme established by the EEOA.	149		
Development assessment and decision-making in South Australia – Recent curtailment of the role of local councils – Paul Leadbeter			
Local councils have played an important role in the assessment of applications for development approval in South Australia since the commencement of planning controls in 1967. Recent changes to the <i>Development Act 1993</i> (SA) have removed the ability of councils to be involved in assessment and decision-making on all development applications. Instead, councils must delegate their function as a decision-making authority under that legislation to either a Council Development Assessment Panel, a Council Officer, or a Regional Development Assessment Panel. The changes remove from the elected members of local councils a role which they have exercised and enjoyed for many years. This article describes the nature and breadth of those changes and makes some observations on the practical implementation of those changes.	155		

The Anisminic doctrine of extended jurisdictional error in New South Wales superior courts - Ian Ellis-Jones

In Australia, despite some intermittent enthusiasm for the Anisminic doctrine of "extended jurisdictional error", most Australian superior courts continue to maintain, or at least pay lip-service to, a distinction between jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional errors of law. This has been particularly the case in New South Wales where, even since the landmark High Court of Australia case of Craig v South Australia, the State's two superior courts, the Supreme Court (together with the Court of Appeal) and the Land and Environment Court, respectively, generally decide matters before them involving jurisdictional error using the traditional doctrine of jurisdictional error, notwithstanding that Craig is increasingly, and at times incongruously, cited as authority for their conclusions. Also, despite some judicial authority that would not appear to take into account the qualifications and reservations expressed in Craig, the preponderance of New South Wales judicial authority makes it clear that not all Anisminic-type errors of law will be jurisdictional in the broad or extended sense but only one on which the decision of the case depends. This would be so, eg in the case of a failure to take into account a relevant consideration that the decision-maker was duty bound to take into account, where compliance with the requirement was a precondition of the existence of the power to make the decision. In the case of an erroneous finding, the erroneous finding would need to form the basis of the decision or otherwise be an element in the process of reasoning that led to the decision for the error to be jurisdictional in the *Anisminic* sense.

164

Challenges in an age of consent: Post decision monitoring of planning conditions in **New South Wales** – *Robert G Stokes*

The contemporary New South Wales land use planning system was conceived in the 1970s. After prolonged discussions with the community and in Parliament, the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (NSW) (EPAA) commenced in 1980, and provides an integrated system for environmental assessment and development control. One of the objects of the EPAA is to encourage "the promotion and co-ordination of the orderly and economic use and development of land". An orderly process of development is established under Pt 4 of the Act. This article proposes to examine the effectiveness of the system for monitoring and enforcing the conditions placed on such development. The first part of the article will examine what is meant by "development", how development is regulated by the imposition of conditions, and how development is monitored by local government and other regulators. The article will then examine the ways in which development control can be enforced, and how breaches of development controls may be prosecuted, including an analysis of some recent cases. The article will conclude by examining some of the difficulties with enforcing conditions of development consent, and will present some options for reform. 183

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, Local Government Law Journal, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to lglj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- · Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 5,000-6,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2. Cases:

- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- · For international cases best references only should be included.

3. Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4. Books should be cited as follows:

Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5. Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
- 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6. Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://www.subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Local Government Law Journal comprises four parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_iindex.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1324-1265

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW