

---

# Index

---

**Actionable damage** – *see* **Actionable physical injury**

**Actionable physical injury**

Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc (case note),  
3–7

**Bailment**

theory of deviation, 41–42

**Breach of duty**

companies

Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc (case note),  
3–7

**Building**

deference – *see* **Deference**

**Canada**

building regulation – *see* **Deference**

recognisable psychiatric illness – *see* **Psychiatric illness**

**Causation**

legislation compared, 34

medical negligence, 28–29, 34

**Causes of action**

Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc (case note),  
3–7

**Common callings** – *see* **Deviation**

**Companies**

breach of duty by

Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc (case note),  
3–7

**Construction**

deference – *see* **Deference**

**Contracts** – *see* **Deviation**

**Conversion**

deviation distinguished, 54–58

quasi-deviation of wrongful use & conveyance,  
59–54

**Conveyance**

quasi-deviation of wrongful use & conveyance,  
59–54

**Covenants**

deviation

breach of particular type of contractual  
covenant, 42–43

**Deference**

building regulation (Canada), 120–135

case for increased deference, 125–130

practical necessity of discretion, 129–130

public law, 125–127

reform of public authority liability,  
127–129

conclusion, 135

idea of deference, 120–121

implementation, 131–135

modulated standard of care, 132–135

policy operational distinction, 131–132

public law invalidity as precondition to  
liability, 132

liability, 121–125

private law status of building authorities,  
121–124

public law status of building authorities,  
124–125

**Detinet**

deviation distinguished, 54–58

quasi-deviation of wrongful detention,  
43–49

**Deviation**

ordinary laws of contract & torts, 39–58

breach of particular type of contractual  
covenant, 42–43

conclusion, 58

Coote's bailment theory, 41–42

distinguished from *detinet* and conversion,  
54–58

quasi-deviation of wrongful detention (*detinet*),  
43–49

quasi-deviations of wrongful use & conveyance  
(conversion), 49–54

**Disclosure**

doctor's duty

Hii Chii Kok case (Singapore), 79–91

**Disclosure — *continued***

- actual result, 86
- concluding remarks, 91
- Court of Appeal
  - issues before, 80
  - judgment, 80
- existing law, 80–81
- facts & HC decision, 79–80
- impetus & options for change, 81
- implications & challenges, 89–81
- new legal framework, 81–85
  - communication of information, 85
  - justification for withholding information, 84–85
  - material information, 82–83
  - possession of information, 83–84
- reflection & comment, 86–89
  - Bolam test, 88
  - differences, 87
  - justifications, 88
  - three-stage inquiry, 87

**Doctors – *see also* Medical negligence**

- duty of disclosure – *see* **Disclosure**

**Duty of care – *see* Non-delegable duties**

**Employers**

- breach of duty
  - Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc (case note), 3–7

**England**

- non delegable duties, 146–148

**Fleming, John G**

- John G Fleming Lecture, 8–18

**Greenway v Johnson Matthey Plc**

- case note, 3–7

**Harm**

- Greenway case, 7

**Intentional interference with the person**

- trespass – *see* **Trespass**

**Ipp, David (Justice)**

- Report (2002), 20–21

**John G Fleming Lecture, 8–18**

**Loss of chance**

- Greenway case, 3

**Medical negligence**

- loss of chance
  - Greenway case, 3
- practitioner perspectives on continuing legal challenges, 19–36
  - challenges, 22–27
  - conclusion, 33–34
  - discussion, 32–33
  - findings of doctoral study, 27–32
    - benefits of no-fault schemes, 32
    - causation, 28–29, 34
    - discrimination between physical injury & mental harm, 30–31
    - scepticism of psychiatric injury, 31–32
    - thresholds in mental harm, 29–30, 36
- Ipp reforms & 2015 remedial reforms, 20–21
- legislation compared, 34–36
- methodology of doctoral study, 27
- statutory scheme (NZ), 25–27

**Mental harm**

- practitioner perspectives on continuing legal challenges, 19–36
  - challenges, 22–27
  - conclusion, 33–34
  - discussion, 32–33
  - findings of doctoral study, 27–32
    - benefits of no-fault schemes, 32
    - causation, 28–29, 34
    - discrimination between physical injury & mental harm, 30–31
    - scepticism of psychiatric injury, 31–32
    - thresholds in mental harm, 29–30, 36
- Ipp reforms & 2015 remedial reforms, 20–21
- legislation compared, 34–36
- legislative amendments, 23–25
- methodology of doctoral study, 27
- statutory scheme (NZ), 25–27

**Negligence – see also Medical negligence**

non-delegable duties – *see* **Non-delegable duties**

recognisable psychiatric illness – *see* **Psychiatric illness**

trespass – *see* **Trespass**

**New Zealand**

medical negligence & mental harm statutory scheme, 25–27

**No fault approach**

mental harm & medical negligence, 19–36  
benefits, 32

**Non-delegable duties**

challenges in evolution of doctrine,  
143–166

common law jurisdictions, 145–49

Australia, 145–146

England, 146–148

preliminary observations, 148–149

Singapore, 148

conclusion, 166

future, 161–165

agency, 162

vicarious liability & the possibility of  
integration, 163–165

duty of supervision, 161–162

historical origins & developments,  
144–145

justifying, 149–154

preferring imposition of liability on  
employer, 150–153

utility of policy, 153–154

nature of liability, 158–161

absolute liability, 159

strict liability, fault-based liability &  
standard of care, 159–160

scope of duties, 154–158

applicability to intentional torts,  
156–158

independent tort, 156

subspecies of negligence, 154–155

reinvigorating, 59–78

arguments in favour, 76–77

Australian case law, 67–72

conclusion, 77–78

criticisms of the concept, 72–77

articulation of rationale, 72–73

lack of policy rationale, 72–73

law & risk, 75–76

UK case law, 63–67

**Non-economic loss**

practitioner perspectives on continuing legal  
challenges, 19–36

maximum amounts, 35

thresholds, 34

**Person, trespass to the – see Trespass****Physical injury**

mental harm distinguished, 30–31

**Psychiatric illness**

recognisable (Canada), 92–99

comment, 95–99

conclusion, 99

damage & judicial diversity, 139–141

reflections, 141–142

Saadati case, 92–95, 136–142

**Psychiatric injury**

mental harm claims, 31

**Public authority liability**

reform (Canada) 127–129

**Recognisable psychiatric illness – see Psychiatric illness****Singapore**

disclosure – *see* **Disclosure**

non-delegable duties, 148

**Standard of care**

modulated

building regulation (Canada) 132–135

non-delegable duties, 159–160

**Tort law**

future, 18

history, 8–18

jurisprudence, 15–18

scholarship, 9–14

**Trespass**

person, to the

historical development, 103–118

conclusion, 118

post *Donoghue v Stevenson*, 114–118

**Trespass — *continued***

post Judicature Acts, 110–114  
writ system, 104–110

**United Kingdom**

non-delegable duties (England), 146–148

**Vicarious liability**

non-delegable duties, 163–165

**Wrongful detention**

quasi-deviation (*detinet*), 54–58

**Wrongful use – *see* Deviation**

quasi-deviation (conversion), 49–54