

---

# Index

---

## Accountability

- core public law value, 189–203
  - Australian public law, 190–192
  - definition of accountability, 192–197
  - questions, 200–203
  - thin accountability regime, 198–200

## Administrative review

- documents relevant to the review, 178–188
  - existing obligations to lodge documents, 180
  - implications of lodging documents, 183–184
  - legal professional privilege, 184–188
  - new obligations, 180–181
  - original decision-maker's role & general disclosure obligations, 179
  - relevance and privilege, 188
  - relevance of documents, 181–183

## *Australian Journal of Administrative Law*

- farewell to General Editor (Damien Cremean), 3
- Sections & Current Issues, 3–4
- submissions, 3

## Book reviews

- Administrative Law and Judicial Deference* (Lewans), 140–141
- Controlling Administrative Power: an historical comparison* (Cane), 66–68
- Cowen and Zines's Federal Jurisdiction in Australia* (4th ed) (Liddell), 273–275
- Judicial Review of Administrative Action and Government Liability* (6th ed) (Aronson et al), 271–273
- Leading Cases in Australian Law: a guide to the 200 most frequently cited judgments* (Reynolds & Goddard), 65–66
- Ministerial Advisers in Australia: the modern legal context* (Ng), 275–278
- The Role of the Solicitor-General: negotiating law, politics and the public interest* (Appleby), 205–206

*Soft Law and Public Authorities: remedies and reform* (Weeks), 63–64

*Veterans' Entitlements and Military Compensation Law* (3rd ed) (Creyke & Sutherland), 204–205

## Canada

- judicial deference (book review), 140–141

## Casenotes

*CFMEU v Director, Fair Work Building Industry Inspectorate*, 78–80

*Minister for Immigration & Border Protection v Le*, 216–221

*Minister for Immigration & Border Protection v Tescic*, 214–216

## Constitution

- s 75(v) *see also* Judicial review
- High Court jurisdiction to review for jurisdictional error, 21–42
  - concept of jurisdictional error, 24
  - conclusion, 42
  - common law constitutional method, 33–35
  - constitutional functionalism, 36–38
  - identifying jurisdictional error, 25–26
  - “island of power” metaphor, 39
  - jurisdictional error & constitutional role of s 75(v), 26–27
  - jurisdictional error as substantive limitation, 39–40
  - liberalism & textualism, 28–29
  - living constitutionalism, 35–36
  - Plaintiff S157/2002*, 23–24
  - subjective & objective originalism, 29
    - objective originalism, 32
    - subjective originalism, 29–31
  - value of non-arbitrary government as rule or standard
    - as rule, 40–41
    - as standard, 41

## Data breaches

- notifiable *see* Privacy

- Data matching
  - Centrelink's use, 148–154
- Discretionary decision-making power (AAT)
  - community values, 7–20
- Discretionary payments (Cth)
  - judicial review, 74–77
    - different schemes, 74–75
      - act of grace claims, 75
      - CDDA claims, 74
      - debt waiver claims, 75
    - judicial challenges, 75–77
- Environmental planning decisions
  - soft law, 252–270
    - role in planning decisions, 255–269
      - NSW, 257–264
      - WA, 266–269
- Federal jurisdiction (book review), 273–275
  - conferral on state courts, 274
  - requirement of a “matter”, 274–275
- High Court
  - inappropriate invocation of jurisdiction, 79
  - legislative limitations on judicial review (*Graham*), 209–210
- Immigration *see* Migration; Refugees
- Judgments
  - leading cases (book review), 65–66
  - Li* as leading case, 145–146
- Judicial deference (book review), 140–141
- Judicial review
  - book review, 271–273
  - legislative limitations
    - High Court in *Graham*, 209–210
  - Minister's directions *see* Migration
  - no-invalidity clauses, 81–98
    - conclusion, 97–98
    - Constitution s 75, 87–90 *see also* Constitution
    - definition, 83–87
    - implied separation of judicial power, 90–97
    - jurisdictional error, 86–87
- Jurisdictional error
  - CFMEU v Director, Fair Work Building Industry Inspectorate*, 79–80
  - judicial review *see* Judicial review
- Legislative instruments *see* Migration
- Legitimate expectation
  - decline of, 71–72
- Merits review
  - tribunal procedures, 121–139
    - conclusion, 139
    - efficiency & economy, 127–129
    - evolution of Australian model, 123–127
    - expansion of procedural fairness & reasonableness grounds of review, 130–136
    - resisting adversarialism, 136–138
    - super tribunals, 129–130
- Migration. *See also* National interest
  - character refusal or cancellation of visa (*Le*), 216–221
  - data breach identifying protection visa applicants (*SZSSJ* and *SZTZI*), 5–6
  - discretion to cancel or refuse visa
    - Le*, 216–221
    - Tesic*, 214–216
  - legislative policy and breach of duty (*AS*), 6
  - Li* as leading case, 145–146
  - Minister's directions as legislative instruments
    - implications for judicial review, 234–251
    - changes, 241
    - characterising s 499 directions, 241–247
    - consequences of characterisation, 247–251
    - definition of “legislative instrument”, 235–237
    - “without limiting the generality”, 237–241
  - offshore resources activity (*Maritime Union of Australia*), 73–74
  - refugee protection *see* Refugees
- Military veterans
  - compensation entitlements (book review), 204–205

Ministerial advisers (book review), 275–278

Minister's directions *see* Migration

National interest *see also* Migration

character tests, 99–120

conclusion, 118–120

*Huynh*

background facts, 102–103

purported advancement of national  
interest purpose, 103–106

specific factors personal to non-  
citizen, 112–118

statutory context of s 501, 106–112

*Migration Act* s 501, 100–101

Notifiable data breaches *see* Privacy

Ombudsmen

reform, 43–62

conclusion, 61–62

external review: nature & purpose of  
Australian ombudsmen, 46–48

need for reform & timing, 45–46

reform recommendations, 49–61

articulate purpose of ombudsmen,  
58–61

“one stop shops” for complainants,  
51–54

Parliamentary protection &  
oversight of government  
ombudsmen, 58–61

protection of the title, 50–51

Planning *see* Environmental planning decisions

Privacy

Centrelink's automated debt notices, 148–154

mandatory notification (notifiable data  
breaches scheme), 211–213

Privilege

*Tesic* (casenote), 214–216

Public law

controlling administrative power (book  
review), 66–68

Refugees

protection and state security, 222–233

Australian law, 224–225

complementary protection, 223–224

non-refoulement, 225–227

risky refugees

character test, 299–232

national security & community  
protection, 228–229

statutory removal powers & refugee status  
determination, 227–228

Soft law *see also* Environmental planning decisions

book review, 63–64

Solicitor-General

book review, 205–206

Telecommunications

metadata & “personal information” (*Privacy  
Commissioner v Telstra*), 155–157

Unreasonableness

*Li* as leading case, 145–146

Validity

legally infirm administrative & judicial  
decisions, 158–177

classes of decision infected by legal error,  
163–169

conclusion, 177

interpretative factors, 169–175

legal consequences (case study in torts),  
175–177

relativity, second actors & legal  
consequences, 159–163

Veterans *see* Military veterans

