# **INSOLVENCY LAW JOURNAL**

Volume 17, Number 4

December 2	$00^{\circ}$	)9
------------	--------------	----

What price investor protection? Class actions vs Corporate rescue – Jason Harris and Michael Legg  The financial turmoil and share market losses generated by the global financial crisis have provided ideal conditions for increased numbers of investor class actions. The numbers of firms involved in litigation funding and law firms involved in class actions are also increasing. Australian securities law seems to be at the beginning of a wave of investor class actions based on allegations of inadequate corporate disclosure. The fallout from the global financial crisis (GFC) has also focused attention on the efficiency of Australia's corporate rescue laws as companies struggle under onerous debt levels and attempt to rebuild balance sheets and restructure operations in much tighter credit conditions than in previous years. This article considers the tension between laws that seek to compensate investors through the use of class actions and laws that aim to promote corporate rescue attempts. It suggests that reform may be needed to ensure that these two important policy goals work more harmoniously together.  185  RECENT DEVELOPMENTS – David Morrison	EDITORIAL	173	
The recent increase in failures of larger and more complex corporate groups has led to increased incidents of the trading on of substantial businesses during a lengthy period of voluntary administration in accordance with Pt 5.3A of the Corporations Act 2001. In any continuation of a company's business during administration, important questions arise in relation to potential personal liability of the administrator for services rendered to the company by its existing employees. Divergent views as to the extent of that personal liability have emerged from the decided cases, resulting in considerable uncertainty. This article considers those divergent views and argues that, on the proper construction of the Corporations Act and on policy grounds, the preferable approach to the construction of the existing legislation is for administrators to have no personal liability for causing existing contracts of employment to continue to be performed during the administration period  What price investor protection? Class actions vs Corporate rescue – Jason Harris and Michael Legg  The financial turmoil and share market losses generated by the global financial crisis have provided ideal conditions for increased numbers of investor class actions. The numbers of firms involved in litigation funding and law firms involved in class actions are also increasing. Australian securities law seems to be at the beginning of a wave of investor class actions based on allegations of inadequate corporate disclosure. The fallout from the global financial crisis (GFC) has also focused attention on the efficiency of Australia's corporate rescue laws as companies struggle under onerous debt levels and attempt to rebuild balance sheets and restructure operations in much tighter credit conditions than in previous years. This article considers the tension between laws that seek to compensate investors through the use of class actions and laws that aim to promote corporate rescue attempts. It suggests that reform may be needed to ensure that	ARTICLES		
increased incidents of the trading on of substantial businesses during a lengthy period of voluntary administration in accordance with Pt 5.3A of the Corporations Act 2001. In any continuation of a company's business during administration, important questions arise in relation to potential personal liability of the administrator for services rendered to the company by its existing employees. Divergent views as to the extent of that personal liability have emerged from the decided cases, resulting in considerable uncertainty. This article considers those divergent views and argues that, on the proper construction of the Corporations Act and on policy grounds, the preferable approach to the construction of the existing legislation is for administrators to have no personal liability for causing existing contracts of employment to continue to be performed during the administration period	Know your personal liabilities: Administrators' personal liability for employee wages and entitlements – $David\ Walter$		
Michael Legg  The financial turmoil and share market losses generated by the global financial crisis have provided ideal conditions for increased numbers of investor class actions. The numbers of firms involved in litigation funding and law firms involved in class actions are also increasing. Australian securities law seems to be at the beginning of a wave of investor class actions based on allegations of inadequate corporate disclosure. The fallout from the global financial crisis (GFC) has also focused attention on the efficiency of Australia's corporate rescue laws as companies struggle under onerous debt levels and attempt to rebuild balance sheets and restructure operations in much tighter credit conditions than in previous years. This article considers the tension between laws that seek to compensate investors through the use of class actions and laws that aim to promote corporate rescue attempts. It suggests that reform may be needed to ensure that these two important policy goals work more harmoniously together.  185  RECENT DEVELOPMENTS – David Morrison  206  Thoughts on court-to-court communication in insolvency cases – R I Barrett	increased incidents of the trading on of substantial businesses during a lengthy period of voluntary administration in accordance with Pt 5.3A of the Corporations Act 2001. In any continuation of a company's business during administration, important questions arise in relation to potential personal liability of the administrator for services rendered to the company by its existing employees. Divergent views as to the extent of that personal liability have emerged from the decided cases, resulting in considerable uncertainty. This article considers those divergent views and argues that, on the proper construction of the Corporations Act and on policy grounds, the preferable approach to the construction of the existing legislation is for administrators to have no personal liability for causing existing	175	
provided ideal conditions for increased numbers of investor class actions. The numbers of firms involved in litigation funding and law firms involved in class actions are also increasing. Australian securities law seems to be at the beginning of a wave of investor class actions based on allegations of inadequate corporate disclosure. The fallout from the global financial crisis (GFC) has also focused attention on the efficiency of Australia's corporate rescue laws as companies struggle under onerous debt levels and attempt to rebuild balance sheets and restructure operations in much tighter credit conditions than in previous years. This article considers the tension between laws that seek to compensate investors through the use of class actions and laws that aim to promote corporate rescue attempts. It suggests that reform may be needed to ensure that these two important policy goals work more harmoniously together.  185  RECENT DEVELOPMENTS – David Morrison  206  Thoughts on court-to-court communication in insolvency cases – R I Barrett  207  REPORT FROM NEW ZEALAND – Lynne Taylor  Three high profile proposal cases under the Insolvency Act 2006			
Thoughts on court-to-court communication in insolvency cases – R I Barrett	provided ideal conditions for increased numbers of investor class actions. The numbers of firms involved in litigation funding and law firms involved in class actions are also increasing. Australian securities law seems to be at the beginning of a wave of investor class actions based on allegations of inadequate corporate disclosure. The fallout from the global financial crisis (GFC) has also focused attention on the efficiency of Australia's corporate rescue laws as companies struggle under onerous debt levels and attempt to rebuild balance sheets and restructure operations in much tighter credit conditions than in previous years. This article considers the tension between laws that seek to compensate investors through the use of class actions and laws that aim to promote corporate rescue attempts. It suggests that reform may be needed to ensure that these two important policy		
Bankruptcy research in Australia: A review – Dr David Morrison	RECENT DEVELOPMENTS – David Morrison		
REPORT FROM NEW ZEALAND – Lynne Taylor  Three high profile proposal cases under the Insolvency Act 2006	Thoughts on court-to-court communication in insolvency cases – R I Barrett		
Three high profile proposal cases under the Insolvency Act 2006	Bankruptcy research in Australia: A review – Dr David Morrison		
Three high profile proposal cases under the Insolvency Act 2006 (NZ) – Dr Adrian Sawyer	REPORT FROM NEW ZEALAND – Lynne Taylor		
	Three high profile proposal cases under the Insolvency Act 2006 (NZ) – Dr Adrian Sawyer	214	

VOLUME 17 – 2009	
Table of authors	223
Table of cases	225
Index	233

## Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, *Insolvency Law Journal*, at LTA.insolvlj@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

#### Licences

It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be
downloaded at <a href="http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as">http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as</a> contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, <a href="https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as">Insolvency Law Journal</a>, Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, PO Box
3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039.

#### Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook
Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the
letter.

#### Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 15,000 words for articles or 1,500–2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

#### Peer review

• This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

#### Style

- 1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
- 2. Unpointed style is to be used there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
- 3. Cases:
  - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
  - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
  - For "at" references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
  - For international cases best references only should be used.
- 4. **Legislation** is cited as follows:
  - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
- 5. Books are cited as follows:
  - Ross D, Ross on Crime (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
  - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
  - <sup>1</sup> Hayton D, "Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust" in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
  - <sup>2</sup> Hayton, n 1, p 286.
- 6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
  - Kirby M, "The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence" (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
  - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
  - <sup>3</sup> Trindade R and Smith R, "Modernising Australian Merger Analysis" (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
  - <sup>4</sup> Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
  - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
- 7. **Internet references** are cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], <a href="http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp">http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp</a> viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

#### SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Insolvency Law Journal comprises four parts a year.

The journal is available for subscription via paper and/or online. An online subscription can include access to archived volumes of the journal dating back to 1993 and has the following benefits: all content is fully searchable; PDF versions are provided for easier reading; users can subscribe to an RSS feed to be instantly informed of updates.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomsonreuters.com.au
Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

### Advertising inquiries:

Contact Andrew Parsons on (02) 8587 7462 or email a.parsons@thomsonreuters.com

#### **HEAD OFFICE**

100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© 2010 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co. Published in Sydney

ISSN 1039-3293

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW