ENVIRONMENTAL AND PLANNING LAW JOURNAL

Volume 27, Number 1

January 2010

ARTICLES

Solar access law: 30 years on – Adrian J Bradbrook

As energy production and consumption constitute approximately two-thirds of all global atmospheric carbon emissions, energy law reforms will be essential if the world is to tackle seriously the problem of climate change. One of the major changes will be the rapid expansion of solar energy. This will not occur unless householders and businesses that install solar collector panels on their properties can be assured that their investment will not be wasted as a result of shading caused by the activities of the owners of neighbouring properties. This problem was identified in legal circles in Australia in 1978, when the Law Reform Committee of South Australia produced a report on solar access. This article examines the that have occurred in this area of law over the past 30 years at State and local government level, as well as in the courts.

Planning for coastal climate change in Victoria – Elisa de Wit and Rachael Webb

It is generally accepted that adaptation will be required to respond to the unavoidable impacts associated with climate change, particularly in coastal areas. Environmental planning law is an important mechanism in implementing this adaptation process. This article considers the initiatives so far undertaken to include coastal climate change-related risks into the Victorian environmental planning law framework with the making of the Victorian Coastal Strategy 2008 and subsequent planning scheme amendment. The way in which coastal climate change issues have been considered by the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal and Planning Panels Victoria is then examined. While progress has been made in Victoria, further work is required to provide coastal planners with the necessary tools to achieve effective statutory and strategic planning and to improve integration between the Planning and Environment Act 1987 (Vic) and the Coastal Management Act 1995 (Vic).

Participation and responsiveness in merits review of polycentric decisions: A comparison of development assessment appeals – Andrew Edgar

The question of whether tribunals operate in an inquisitorial or adversarial manner can be regarded as a starting point for evaluating their processes. It is a question that is also raised in regards to the Land and Environment Court of New South Wales (LEC). This article argues that the merits review jurisdiction of the LEC generally operates according to an adversarial process. This becomes clear when participation and responsiveness in the LEC is compared with the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal's Planning and Environment List, which tends to operate according to inquisitorial processes. Particular risks are identified with both adversarial and inquisitorial processes in the context of merits review of development assessment decisions. It is argued that the problems raised by adversarial processes are more fundamental than those raised by inquisitorial processes.

36

(2010) 27 EPLJ 1

23

From revolution to evolution: Two decades of planning in Queensland – Philippa England

In December 1997, the Queensland government enacted the Integrated Planning Act 1997 (Qld) (IP Act). This comprehensive reform, designed to place Queensland at the "leading edge of planning practice" was replaced, in 2009, by the Sustainable Planning Act 2009 (Qld) (SP Act). The 2009 Act claims to be an evolution not a revolution for Queensland planning practice. This article traces, over the past two decades, the journey from revolution to evolution in Queensland planning law. It explores the background, major premises and some of the enduring critiques of the IP Act. It identifies a clear trend towards greater State intervention in recent years and describes how this trend, in conjunction with the original objectives of integration and enhanced efficiency, are reflected in the new Act

Reflections on the history and role of the Environment Court in New Zealand – Kenneth Palmer

The Environment Court has its origins in a Planning Appeal Board established in 1953. Its functions were to hear appeals on plans prepared by local authorities, and resource consent matters. The board was constituted as a formal body with a judicial chairperson and two assessors. The board became a Planning Tribunal in 1977. Under the Resource Management Act 1991 (NZ) (RM Act), the tribunal obtained extended jurisdiction to make declarations and determine civil enforcement applications. In 1996, the tribunal was renamed as the Environment Court. This article considers the purpose of the RM Act, evolving jurisdiction of the court, issues affecting public participation, recent procedural innovations, and the court's contribution to shaping environmental outcomes. The court is staffed by permanent environment judges and environment commissioners. The commissioners have a significant function in promoting mediation between parties to appeals.

69

2 (2010) 27 EPLJ 1

Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, *Environmental and Planning Law Journal*, at LTA.eplj@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences

It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be
downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp

Letters to the Editor

• By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 15,000 words for articles or 3,000 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review

• This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

- 1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
- 2. Unpointed style is to be used there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
- 3. Cases:
 - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
 - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
 - For "at" references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
 - For international cases best references only should be used.
- 4. Legislation is cited as follows:
 - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
- 5. Books are cited as follows:
 - Ross D, Ross on Crime (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ¹ Hayton D, "Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust" in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
 - ² Hayton, n 1, p 286.
- 6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
 - Kirby M, "The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence" (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ³ Trindade R and Smith R, "Modernising Australian Merger Analysis" (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
 - ⁴ Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
 - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
- 7. Internet references are cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

(2010) 27 EPLJ 1 3

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Environmental and Planning Law Journal comprises six parts a year.

The journal is available for subscription via paper and/or online. An online subscription can include access to archived volumes of the journal dating back to 1984 and has the following benefits: all content is fully searchable; PDF versions are provided for easier reading; users can subscribe to an RSS feed to be instantly informed of updates.

For further information on how to subscribe:

Visit <u>www.thomsonreuters.com.au</u>
Tel: 1300 304 195
Email: <u>LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com</u>

Advertising inquiries:

Contact Andrew Parsons on (02) 8587 7462 or email a.parsons@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

Customer service and sales inquiries:

Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196 Web:www.thomsonreuters.com.au Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Head Office

100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© 2009 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0813-300X

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW

4 (2010) 27 EPLJ 1