ENVIRONMENTAL AND PLANNING LAW JOURNAL

Volume 23, Number 4

August 2006

EDITORIAL COMMENTARY	253
LETTER TO THE EDITOR	259

ARTICLES

Legislative limits on environmental decision-making: The application of the administrative law doctrines of jurisdictional fact and ultra vires – *Emma Bullen*

It is possible, having regard to the declining state of many aspects of the environment, that Parliament may wish to enact legislative limits on the decision-making powers of the executive so that, if an action is going to have a specified, unacceptable impact on the environment, an executive decision-maker will not have the power or jurisdiction to allow it to proceed. An essential consideration in imposing substantive limits on environmental decision-making is to ensure that the proposed limits can be judicially enforced without unduly straining the role of the courts in the process of judicial review. Through a consideration of the administrative law doctrines of jurisdictional fact and substantive ultra vires, this article evaluates possible techniques for limiting the discretion of environmental decision-makers and suggests the most appropriate means of doing so.

A comment on the draft report of the Productivity Commission's inquiry into the conservation of Australia's heritage places – *Matthew Baird*

In December 2005 the Productivity Commission released its draft report on the conservation of Australia's historic heritage places. The draft report advocated a number of significant changes to the current practices and procedures for heritage protection at local and national level. One of the draft report's primary recommendations was that the system of heritage listing by local governments should be amended so that an item could only be listed as an item of heritage if the owner of the item had entered into a voluntary conservation agreement with the local government authority concerned. Ignoring most of the submissions put before it by heritage based non-government organisations, the draft report rejected suggestions that there should be greater use of incentive or sanctions to preserve and protect items of historic heritage. Rather the recommendation focused on preserving the rights and interests of the property holder over society's interest in preserving items of historic heritage. The final report is due for release on 21 July 2006.

280

265

Can s 52 of the Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth) be invoked against misleading statements by a proponent of a project in an environmental impact statement under Pts IV or V of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)? – Anna Christie

Environmental impact statements perform multiple roles. They inform decision-makers who decide whether to allow or prohibit a development (or "activity"). The role of EISs also extends further than the procedural requirements of impact assessment law. They are quasi-marketing documents which can be partian towards the interests of the

development proceeding. As such they have the potential to mislead their audiences about the extent and severity of impacts. A key feature of EISs is that they tend to contain highly technical and scientific information, which may be presented in such as way as to be misleading. The prohibition of "misleading or deceptive" conduct pursuant to s 52 of the *Trade Practices Act* is the subject of this analysis, in particular the test of what is "in trade or commerce" and who is a "consumer". Two other bodies of law are also discussed, namely the High Court's position on "adequacy of information" of EISs and the relevant law concerning expert evidence.

Biobanking in New South Wales: Legal issues in the design and implementation of a biodiversity offsets and banking scheme – *Paul Curnow* and *Louisa Fitz-Gerald*

The *Threatened Species Conservation Amendment (Biodiversity Banking) Bill 2006* was introduced in New South Wales Parliament in June this year. Its objective is to protect biodiversity in New South Wales, by imposing obligations on developers to protect and maintain biodiversity and allowing these obligations to be fulfilled through the purchase of "offsets" from landholders undertaking certain agreed conservation actions. The design and implementation of this type of environmental market requires consideration of a number of legal and regulatory issues, including the design of the new market "currency", new property rights, the monitoring and verification of biodiversity management plans and strategies to ensure permanence of biodiversity gains. This article examines these issues, drawing on experience in environmental markets from Australia and worldwide.

Pricing water for environmental externalities in Western Australia – Alex Gardner, Darla Hatton MacDonald and Vivian Chung

288

298

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, Environmental and Planning Law Journal, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to eplj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.

Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.

Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.

Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.

Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.

Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.

All material published in this journal is refereed.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2 Cases:

Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.

Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation."At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: Mabo v Queensland [No 2] (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1. Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister

for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19]. For international cases best references only should be included.

- 3. Legislation should be cited as follows:
 - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.
- 4. Books should be cited as follows:
 - Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55. In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
 - 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.
- 5. Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

- Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred: 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.

7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6. Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25-June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Environmental Planning and Law Journal comprises six parts a year.

Customer service and sales enquiries: Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196 Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: **Tel: (02) 8587 7000**

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100

ISSN 0813-300X

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW