

---

## Index to Reports

---

### BANKRUPTCY

- Bankruptcy courts – Jurisdiction and powers of court – Claims by and against trustees – Money claims – Threshold issue – Whether claimed moneys form part of bankrupt’s estate – Exclusive jurisdiction of federal courts – Strikeout of District Court claim – *Bankruptcy Act 1966* (Cth), ss 27(1), 31(1)(ff)  
*Cunningham v Gapes* 190

### FAMILY LAW AND CHILD WELFARE

- Domestic violence – Protection orders – Requirements to be met – *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, s 37(1)  
*FCA v Commissioner of Queensland Police Service* 79

### MAGISTRATES

- Appeal and review – Grounds of appeal – Denial of procedural fairness – Failure to consider all relevant evidence  
*FCA v Commissioner of Queensland Police Service* 79

### PROCEDURE

- Costs – Assessment – Review – Evidence – Expert engaged to prepare disputed bills – Issues of objectivity and independence.  
*Radich v Kenway* 120
- Costs – Assessment – Review – Natural justice – Breach by assessor – Where curable on review.  
*Radich v Kenway* 120
- Costs – Assessment – Review – Procedure on review – *Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999*, r 720.  
*Radich v Kenway* 120
- Costs – Assessment – Review – Scope of review – Solicitor’s costs – Failure to make proper disclosure – *Legal Profession Act 2007*, s 316  
*Radich v Kenway* 120
- Costs – Departing from the general rule – Conduct of parties – Plaintiff – Inappropriate proceedings – Want of jurisdiction – Where circumstances ought to have been known to plaintiff – Order for costs on indemnity basis  
*Cunningham v Gapes* 190
- Costs – Security for costs – Poverty – Lack of means – Impecunious corporate plaintiff – Guarantee offered by sole director and shareholder – Where prospective guarantor also impecunious – Available funds subject of prior agreement to pay costs already incurred – Whether order ought to be made – Risk of stifling proceedings.  
*Chaskel’s Emporium Pty Ltd v Capercorp Pty Ltd* 33
- Costs – Security for costs – Poverty – Lack of means – Whether impecuniosity attributable to conduct of defendant.  
*Chaskel’s Emporium Pty Ltd v Capercorp Pty Ltd* 33
- Costs – Security for costs – Poverty – Lack of means – Whether order ought to be made – Nature of proceedings – Whether brought defensively.  
*Chaskel’s Emporium Pty Ltd v Capercorp Pty Ltd* 33