
Index

Accessorial liability

infringement of a patent by authorisation, 5-17, 146-166

Apple Inc

trademarks for the design and layout of retail premises, 167-185

Audio-visual media – see Broadcast media

Australian Law Reform Commission Inquiry into Copyright and the Digital Economy

exception for fair use recommended by, 129-145

fair use and the digital economy, 218-234

final report of, 192-193

on retransmission, 202-217

Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement (AUSFTA)

infringement by authorisation and, 15

minimum standard system for “other use of the subject matter of a patent without authorisation”, 96-97, 99-100

Biotechnological innovation

the generally inconvenient exception, 40-58

patentability of human embryonic stem cells and, 18-39

Book reviews

Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation by Rebecca Giblin, 186-188

Brand protection strategies

trademarks for the design and layout of retail premises, 167-185

Broadcast media

retransmission in, 202-217

Brüstle v Greenpeace eV

patentability of human embryonic stem cells and, 18-39

Canada

law of fair dealing in, 137-144

Cancer Voices Australia v Myriad Genetics Inc

case study, value neutrality within patent law, 45-47

Competition

American shop rights doctrine and the inventions of Australian employees, 109-124

biotechnology patents in Australia, 40-58

Compulsory licensing

affordability of patented drugs and, 94-108

policy justifications for, 103-104

Contributory infringement – see Infringement by authorisation

Convergence Review, 202, 212-216

Copyright

- authorisation as accessory liability – *see* **Infringement by authorisation**
- Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation book review, 186-188
- fair use exception – *see* **Fair use**
- fairness and balance: lessons from Canada, 129-145
- iiNet case, privacy in context of piracy, 59-69
- impact of fair dealing provisions on innovation, 220-223
- retransmission of broadcasts and, 202-217
- universalism in, 206-208

Copyright Act 1968 (Cth)

- ALRC inquiry recommends fair use exception, 129-145
- goals of, 219
- s 101(1A), privacy and, 63-64, 66-67

Copyright Act 1976 (US)

- on fair use, 193
- market effect provisions, 199-200

Crown use – *see* **Government (Crown) use**

Cultural policies

- retransmission of broadcasts and, 202-217

Design of retail premises

- trademarks for, 167-185
 - distinctiveness, 178-181
 - use as a trade mark, 172-178, 181-184

Designs Act 2003 (Cth) and Designs Regulations

- patent attorney work under, 88-90

Digital economy

- fair use and, 218-234

DNA or RNA sequences – *see* **Gene structures, isolated**

Drug affordability – *see* **Pharmaceuticals**

Embryonic stem cells – *see* **Human embryonic stem cells**

Employees

- shop rights doctrine and inventions of, 109-124

Equitable estoppel

- doctrinal basis of the shop right and, 114-115, 122

Equity and fairness

- doctrinal basis of the shop right and, 117

Ethics

- ethical exclusion against patentability, 18-39, 40-58

ethical objections and normative theory, 47-50
Federal Court's role as ethical guardian, 52-57
morality exclusion in European patent law, 18-19
parliamentary inertia regarding, 50-52
patentability of human embryonic stem cells and, 18-39
patentability of isolated DNA or RNA sequences, 47-50

Europe

EPO on patentability of biotechnological innovations, 55-57
patentability of human embryonic stem cells, 18-39

Fair dealing

Canadian law, 137-144
fair use proposals and, 129-145, 220-223, 231-234
rationales for, 132-134

Fair use

current fair dealing provisions and, 220-223, 231-234
digital economy and, 218-234
impact on innovation in the US, 223-227
legislative reforms from Fair Use Review, 219
recommendations for, and possible interpretations of, 129-145
US legislation relating to, 192-201

Federal Court

role as ethical guardian, 52-57

Gene structures, isolated

patentability of, 45-47

General third party non-voluntary licensing

affordability of patented drugs and, 97-101

“Generally inconvenient” proviso

biotechnology patents in Australia, 40-58
“reasonable person” test amendment of, 57

Giblin, Rebecca

Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation book review, 186-188

Government (Crown) use

compulsory licensing and drug affordability, 101-102

Government acquisition

compulsory licensing and drug affordability, 102

Human embryonic stem cells

creation of chimeric embryos, 34-36
ethical objections to patents and, 48-49

Index

method and medium for in vitro culture of, 30-33, 36
patentability of, 18-39

Human genes – *see* **Gene structures, isolated**

iiNet case

privacy in context of piracy and, 59-69

Implied authorisation – *see* **Accessorial liability**

Implied licence

doctrinal basis of the shop right and, 115-117, 122

In vitro fertilisation – *see* **Luminis, Re**

Infringement by authorisation

as accessorial liability

the overlooked role of knowledge, 146-166

defined, 149-151

examples of, 152-159

express request, direction cases, 152-153

internet cases, 157-159

live performance on premises, 155-156

providing mechanical means of copying, 153-155

factors to consider, 159-161

knowledge, 161-166

nature of any relationship, 160

power to prevent; control, 160

reasonable steps to prevent the doing of an act, 161

infringement of a patent by authorisation, 5-17

background to the introduction of, 6-11

international dimensions, 15-16

scope under patent law, 7-10

Infringement of trade marks

design of retail premises, 181-184

substantial identity and deceptive similarity, 183-184

Innovation – *see also* **Biotechnological innovation**

American shop rights doctrine and the inventions of Australian employees, 109-124

impact of fair dealing provisions on, 220-223

Intellectual property – *see* **Copyright; Infringement by authorisation; Patents; Trade marks**

Intellectual Property Laws Amendment (Raising the Bar) Act 2012 (Cth)

biotechnology patents: raising the bar on the generally inconvenient exception, 40-58

Internet Service Providers (ISPs)

iiNet case, privacy in context of piracy and, 59-69

Invention – *see* **Innovation**

Ireland

proposed fair use model in, 231

“Joint tortfeasors” – *see* Accessorial liability**Knowledge**

authorisation as accessorial liability and, 146-166

Layout of retail premises

trademarks for design and, 167-185

Legal practice

“engage in legal practice”

giving legal advice, 78-80

holding oneself out as an “attorney” or “lawyer”, 82

legal practice and trademarks and designs work, 82-83

meaning of, 75-77, 82-84, 86-87, 92

providing assistance with legal documents, 81

representation before a tribunal with the power to make legally binding decisions, 78

exclusive right to engage in conferred on solicitors and barristers, 74-93

prohibition on engaging in, and its application to PTAs, 74-93

enabling Commonwealth legislation, 85-91

Legal Profession National Law and the Legal Profession National Rules

position of PTAs under, 83-85

the “safe harbour”, 83

use of the title “attorney”, 84

working with lawyers under the proposed national law, 84

prohibition on engaging in legal practice and its application to patent and trademarks attorneys, 74-93

Liability, accessorial – *see* Accessorial liability**Licences**

based on shop rights doctrine – *see* **Shop rights doctrine**

compulsory – *see* **Compulsory licensing**

Luminis, Re

invention for the method and medium for in vitro culture of human embryos, 30-33, 36

Market effect provisions

in US copyright cases, 199-200

Media policy

retransmission of broadcasts, 202-217

Monopoly position

“generally inconvenient” proviso derived from s 6 of the Statute of Monopolies 1623

ethical exclusion against patentability, 40-58

Morality – see Ethics

“Must carry” obligation

for broadcasters, 209

Non-voluntary licensing

affordability of patented drugs and, 97-101

Normative theory

ethical objections to patents and, 47-50

Online copyright piracy

iiNet case, privacy in context of piracy and, 59-69

“Ordre public”

concept of in objections to patents, 55

P2P software

Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation book review, 65-66

Patent and trademarks attorneys (PTAs)

application of prohibition on engaging in legal practice to, 74-93

enabling Commonwealth legislation, 85-91

reference to provisions dealing with professional privilege, 90-91

under the Designs Act and Regulations, 88-90

under the Patents Act and Regulations, 85-87

under the Trade Marks Act and Regulations, 87-88

Patents

accessing and affording drugs despite the patent barrier, 94-108

controversial biotechnology patents, 40-58

ethical patent law exclusion, 18-39

infringement by authorisation, 5-17

patentability of human embryonic stem cells in Australia and Europe, 18-39

raising the bar on the generally inconvenient exception, 40-58

“work” the invention, defined, 99

Patents Act 1990 (Cth)

compulsory licences, 94-108

patent and trademarks attorneys under, 85-87

ss 13(1) and 117, infringement of a patent by authorisation, 5-17

origins of authorisation in, 11-13

s 18(1), “generally inconvenient” proviso derived from s 6 of the Statute of Monopolies 1623, 40-58

s 18(2), patentability of human embryonic stem cells and *Brüstle v Greenpeace* eV, 18-39

Peer-to-peer (communication and copying) technologies

Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation book review, 186-188

Personal information

privacy in context of piracy and, 65-66

Pharmaceuticals

accessing and affording drugs despite the patent barrier, 94-108

Piracy – see Copyright**Privacy**

in the context of piracy, 59-69

Professional privilege, 90-91

defining the scope of PTA practice by reference to the provisions dealing with, 90-91

“Reasonable person” test

derived from “generally inconvenient” proviso, 57

Reproduction

embryonic stem cells – *see* **Human embryonic stem cells**

Rescure judgment

infringement by authorisation and, 7-8, 17

Retail premises

trademarks for the design and layout of, 167-185

Retransmission of broadcasts, 202-217**“Secondary” liability in the law of copyright – see Infringement by authorisation****Shop rights doctrine**

overview, 110-114

doctrinal basis of, 114-118

American shop rights doctrine, 109-124

defences, 117-118

employee inventions in Australia, 119-124

Spencer Industries Pty Ltd v Collins, 122-123

University of Western Australia v Gray, 121-122

Victoria University of Technology v Wilson, 119-121

Shops – see Retail premises**Software**

Code Wars – 10 Years of P2P Software Litigation book review, 186-188

Statute of Monopolies 1623

“generally inconvenient” proviso derived from s 6 of, 40-58

Stem cells – see Human embryonic stem cells**Therapeutics – see Biotechnological innovation****Third party non-voluntary licensing**

affordability of patented drugs and, 97-101

Trade Marks Act and Trade Marks Regulations

patent attorney work under the under, 87-88

Trademarks – *see also* Patent and trademarks attorneys

for the design and layout of retail premises, 167-185

trade mark infringement, 181-184

trade dress, 168-171

Transformative use

fair use legislation and, 195-199

TRIPS Agreement

ethical exclusion against patentability and, 51

infringement by authorisation and, 15

minimum requirements, 96-97

right of member states to “flexibly” apply compulsory licensing, 94-108

scope of Art 27(2) of regarding patentability exclusion, 40-58

Two Pesos Inc v Taco Cabana Inc

trade dress, 169-170

Two-step fair use test

benefits of, 233-234

United Kingdom

fair use provisions, 223

infringement by authorisation and, 15-16

United States

copyright law, 218

fair use legislation in, 192-201, 223-227

infringement by authorisation and, 15-16

Value neutrality within patent law, 43-47

biotechnology patents in Australia, 40-58

Cancer Voices Australia: A case study, 45-47

inappropriateness of ethical discussion in patent law, 45

utilitarian justification for granting patents

utilitarian objective, 44-45

Woo-Suk Hwang case

creation of chimeric embryos, 34-36

“Work” the invention

defined, 99