CURRENT FAMILY LAW

Volume 13, Number 2

May	200	08
-----	-----	----

LAW ON THE MOVE	55
CHILD SUPPORT ON THE MOVE	62
ARTICLES	
Financial agreements: Risks, responsibilities and rewards – <i>Paul Staindl</i> and <i>Lisa Bradley</i>	
In August 2006, the authors argued that binding financial agreements presented legal practitioners with a serious liability risk, the extent of which would not be apparent for decades and which could result in wide-ranging court applications, not only between estranged spouses but between solicitors and their former clients. In 2007, a series of Family Court judgments, culminating in the Full Court decision in <i>Black v Black</i> brought the reality of that risk to the forefront of practitioners' minds. In light of that landmark decision, this article recaps the basics of drafting effective binding financial agreements, explores the varying judicial approaches since the authors' 2006 publication and looks to the future: are binding financial agreements the family lawyer's "ticking time bomb"?	64
Superannuation: Where are we at, five years on? – Greg Shoebridge	
In this article the author reviews in some detail the changes that were effected by superannuation-related amendments that commenced in December 2002. The practical changes that those amendments have made to property adjustments are considered, including the valuation of superannuation interests; the changes in case law dealing with contributions of parties to superannuation entitlements; and issues associated with drafting superannuation agreements. As will be seen, the amendments have already created a substantial volume of jurisprudence and it seems likely that there is more to come	70
Child Support Agency demands for documents and information: Does legal professional privilege apply? – Greg Shoebridge	
Every practitioner is aware of the privilege that protects certain communications between themselves and their clients. Most practitioners would be aware that, like many other government agencies, the Child Support Agency (CSA) has the power to issue notices compelling production of documents and information, even from third parties to a child support assessment. What is a solicitor obliged to do if a client has provided documentation in relation to a child support query and the CSA serves notice under s 120 of the <i>Child Support (Assessment) Act 1989</i> (Cth) compelling production of all material relevant to the CSA's assessment process? What is privileged? What is not? Which prevails – legal professional privilege or the CSA notice? This article aims to provide a comprehensive answer to these questions.	83

CASENOTES -

Truman v Truman (Parenting proceedings, Less adversarial trial process)	91
Child Support Registrar v MMB (Child support, Appeal from SSAT)	95
Kernahan v Kernahan (Property settlement, Spousal maintenance)	98
Sampson v Hartnett (No 10) (Parenting orders, Power to order parent relocation)	100
W v Budgem (Practice and procedure, Subpoenas, Costs)	104
Sankil v Sankil (Stay of proceedings, Oversea proceedings, Courts' jurisdiction)	107
P v S (No 2) (Sole parental responsibility, Change of circumstance)	112

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Current Family Law*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to cfl@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- · Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- · Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 4,000 words for articles. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.

Style

1 Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2 Cases:

- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- · For international cases best references only should be included.

3 Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4 Books should be cited as follows:

Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
- 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5 Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
- 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6 Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/ or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Current Family Law journal comprises four parts per year.

Customer service and sales enquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1037-6631

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW