

CRIMINAL LAW JOURNAL

Volume 35, Number 1

February 2011

EDITORIAL

Similar fact evidence law: The need for fundamental reform, not more reflexive tinkering	3
---	---

ARTICLES

The High Court on crime in 2010: Analysis and jurisprudence – *Mirko Bagaric*

This article discusses all of the High Court decisions in 2010 which relate to criminal matters. It examines the principles that derive from these cases and identifies jurisprudential themes from the decisions. It also sets out the significance of the cases and the possible wider consequences of the decisions.	5
--	---

Expanding the role of victims and the community in sentencing – *Adam Webster*

In 1989, South Australia became the first State in Australia to allow victims to provide input into the sentencing process. In 2010, the Criminal Law (Sentencing) Act 1988 (SA) was amended to allow a victim to make a recommendation as to sentence. The amendment will also allow the prosecutor or the Commissioner of Victims' Rights to furnish the sentencing court with a "neighbourhood impact statement" or a "social impact statement". This article examines the likely effect of the amendments.	21
---	----

"Naming and shaming" in Western Australia: Prohibited behaviour orders, publicity and the decline of youth anonymity – *Thomas Crofts and Normann Witzleb*

The Western Australian Parliament has passed the Prohibited Behaviour Order Act 2010. This Act enables a court to prohibit a person aged 16 years or over who has been convicted of an offence with an anti-social element from engaging in otherwise lawful behaviour that the court regards likely to increase the chances that the person will commit a further such offence. The Act provides that details of the person and the order will be posted on a departmental website even in the case of the young and that anyone is free to republish that information. This article reviews the traditional stance of the law relating to publication of child offending before discussing the pros and cons of how prohibited behaviour orders will affect this position.	34
---	----

CASE AND COMMENT

Police v McMillan [2010] NSWLC 9 – <i>Brendan Murphy</i>	46
---	----

HUMAN AGENTS IN CRIMINAL LAW AND ITS SCHOLARSHIP

A review essay – <i>Ngairé Naffine</i>	51
---	----

DIGEST OF CRIMINAL LAW CASES	57
---	----

Corrigendum

Please note an error in the article in Volume 34, Issue 6, “Sentencing by videolink: Up in the air?” by Emma Rowden, Anne Wallace and Jane Goodman-Delahunty, where details of the funding body were inadvertently omitted from footnote 57 on p 372. The Gateways to Justice Project is funded by the Australian Research Council Linkage Grant (Project No: LP0776248). The authors apologise for the error.