

COMPANY AND SECURITIES LAW JOURNAL

Volume 24, Number 6

September 2006

EDITORIAL 341

ARTICLES

Insider trading in Australia and New Zealand: Information that is “generally available” – Keith Kendall and Gordon Walker

The Australian and New Zealand Governments are parties to a *Memorandum of Understanding (2006 MOU) on Business Law Co-ordination* flowing from the *Closer Economic Relations (CER) Agreement*. Pursuant to the 2006 MOU, the parties seek, where possible, to coordinate their business laws in order to advance economic union. One area selected for coordination is securities regulation. In 2006, as part of an ongoing reform program, the New Zealand Parliament will consider a Bill to effect changes to New Zealand’s securities regulation regime. In particular, major changes to the insider trading laws are contemplated. The changes will criminalise insider trading and remove the requirement for an insider to have a connection with the relevant company. These proposed amendments – following the strictures of the 2006 MOU – are explicitly based on the Australian legislation on insider trading. The Australian insider trading regime contains a “carve out” from liability where information is “generally available”, a term not used in the current New Zealand provisions dealing with insider trading. This article examines the meaning of the term “generally available” as used in Australia to determine whether information qualifies as “inside information”. The present term used in the New Zealand legislation – “publicly available” – is then analysed. Finally, the use of the term “generally available” as it appears in the proposed New Zealand regime is examined with reference to the Australian jurisprudence. 343

Providing financial services “efficiently, honestly and fairly” – Paul Latimer

ASIC sees the obligation of acting efficiently, honestly and fairly in *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) s 912A(1)(a) as both a stand-alone obligation that an Australian Financial Services (AFS) licensee must satisfy, and an obligation that encompasses other obligations under an AFS licence. A licensee may be in breach of its statutory obligation to provide services efficiently, honestly and fairly even if it is complying with all of its other specified obligations. This general obligation includes personal competencies, and imposes continuing obligations on the licensee and its representatives when providing financial services from the beginning of the relationship to its end. Included in the licensee’s obligations are its duties as an employer to its employees, even if intermingled with other obligations regarding financial services. The obligation of acting efficiently, honestly and fairly parallels legal action under other sections in the *Corporations Act*. The importance of the test is that it triggers ASIC’s administrative procedure of suspending, cancelling or banning an offender for breach of the obligation to act efficiently, honesty and fairly. This can present potential problems because it allows ASIC to bypass specific provisions in the *Corporations Act*, avoid the decision whether to pursue civil or criminal proceedings, avoid briefing prosecutors and allows it to deal with the matter by means of the administrative process of suspending, cancelling or banning a licensee for breach of the

obligation to act efficiently, honestly and fairly. Even criminal activity such as false transfers, false entries, illegal trading and manipulation – which ASIC may classify as gross misconduct – can be dealt with administratively for failure to provide financial services efficiently, honestly and fairly. The test of whether financial services are provided efficiently, honestly and fairly is written in plain English. It is not encumbered with existing interpretations and its scope is not fixed, so it cannot become obsolete, and like the evolution of *Trade Practices Act 1974* (Cth) s 52, the expected standard of the financial services licensee of efficiency, honesty and fairness will continue to evolve to meet new situations in the marketplace for financial services. 362

COMPANY LAW – *Robert Baxt AO*

Fashioning the rules of attribution for corporate liability 388

OVERSEAS NOTES – NEW ZEALAND – *Giora Shapira*

Corporate control of New Zealand listed companies 393

Networks of power among NZSX companies 395

Board composition and NZSX companies 398

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Company and Securities Law Journal*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to cslj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 15,000 words for articles or 3,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. **Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).**
2. **Cases:**
 - Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
 - Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
 - “At” references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
 - Where only a media neutral citation is available, “at” references should be to paragraph, eg: *YG v Minister for Community Services* [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
 - For international cases best references only should be included.
3. **Legislation should be cited as follows:**
Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.
4. **Books should be cited as follows:**
Macken JJ, O’Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, *The Law of Employment* (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.
 - In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. The following style is preferred:
 4. Austin RP, “Constructive Trusts” in Finn PD (ed), *Essays in Equity* (Law Book Co, 1985).
 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.
5. **Journals should be cited as follows:**
Odgers S, “Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development” (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.
Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.
 - In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. The following style is preferred:
 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, “Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations” (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.
6. **Internet references should be cited as follows:**
Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], <http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au> viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit <http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/> or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The *Company and Securities Law Journal* comprises eight parts a year.

Customer service and sales enquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries:
Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE
100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009
Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



ISSN 0729-2775

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW