BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION LAW JOURNAL

Volume 22, Number 4

Aug	ust	20	106

_		
	EDITORIAL	
	"Ethics" – moral or material?	241
	BOOK REVIEW Powell-Smith and Furmston's Building Contract Casebook by Professor M Furmston	242
	TOPIC OF INTEREST - Craig Harrison and James Greentree	
	Limitation periods – Building Act 1993 (Vic), s 134	243
	ARTICLES	
	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Prohibition on contracting out of the Building and Construction Industry Security of} \\ \textbf{Payment Act 1999 (NSW)} - \textit{The Honourable Justice Robert McDougall} \end{array}$	
	This article will focus on the extent to which s 34 of the <i>Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 1999</i> (the Act) affects the primacy of parties' bargains. I said in <i>Minister for Commerce v Contrax Plumbing</i> [2004] NSWSC 823 at [40] that the Act generally strikes a balance between freedom of contract on the one hand and protection of the statutory right to a progress payment on the other. However, the operation of the amended s 34 has "swung the balance somewhat away from freedom of contract, and somewhat towards strengthening the rights given by the Act". It is with this understanding of the section that I consider the validity of certain provisions in building and construction contracts. This article will set out the reasoning behind my "broad" interpretation of s 34, and consider the application of s 34 to contractual clauses which may be said to conflict with the section.	246
	CASE NOTES – Stephen Barrett-White	
	What constitutes a "construction contract" for the purposes of the Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act?	257
	Denial of natural justice in Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act adjudications	260
	REPORTS	
	Energy Australia v Downer Construction (Australia) Pty Ltd	263 285 310