Australian Law Journal

GENERAL EDITOR Mr Justice P W Young AO

PRODUCTION EDITOR Cheryle King

The mode of citation of this volume is (2005) 80 ALJ [page]

The Australian Law Journal is a refereed journal.

Australian Law Journal Reports

PRODUCTION EDITOR Carolyn May

CASE REPORTERS Philip Claxton Lachlan Cottom Clare D'Arcy Cathie Dickinson Kristin MacIntosh Colleen Tognetti

The mode of citation of this volume is **80 ALJR [page]**

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 80, Number 4

April 2006

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Mr Justice P W Young AO	
Death of Joe Starke QC	211
Speaking to the media	211
Relative importance of gathering evidence	211
Specialist trial courts for those accused on assaults against children	212
Should we increase the High Court's strength to nine?	212
Judicial appointment in South Africa	213
Interlocking State statutes	214
CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editor: Peter Butt	215
Enforceability of "no caveat" clauses	215
There but for the grace	216
When is a covenant "negative"?	217
PRACTICAL ADVOCACY – Editor: Professor John Harber Phillips AC QC "The first, the last, and the worst"	219
INTERNATIONAL FOCUS – Editor: Ryszard Piotrowicz	
The Red Cross gets a new emblem: Will diamonds be forever?	221

C LAWBOOK CO.

RECENT CASES – Editor: Mr Justice P W Young AO

Ordinary words in migration cases	223
Bailment: Railway carriages – Rights of bailor after collision	223
Trustee who is also beneficiary occupying trust property: Accountability	224
Damages for unlawful conduct of police	224
Solicitors' fiduciary duty	225
Wills: Queensland – Statutory modification defeats will	225
Corporations: Pre-emptive articles – Buy back of shares	226
Schools: standard of care does not amount to constant supervision of students	226
Question raised in Phipps v Ackers	227

ARTICLES

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND THE GUANTÁNAMO BAY PRISONERS

Manuel José García-Mansilla

Two Australians, David Hicks and Mamdouh Habib, were captured by the United States Army in Afghanistan, and taken to Guantánamo Bay, Cuba. They are kept there as prisoners pending hearings before military tribunals. They, and other foreign prisoners, filed habeas corpus petitions in United States federal courts, claiming that their detention violated the United States Constitution and international law. The federal courts rejected the petitions, relying on Supreme Court precedents. The United States Supreme Court has now reversed these decisions. This article examines the precedents, which many outside the United States would find surprising, given that its legal system has always held itself out as championing the rights of the individual against the state. The article also examines the Supreme Court decision in Rasul v Bush 124 S Ct 2686 (2004) which overturned or distinguished them. 232

PUBLIC LIABILITY FOR NEGLIGENTLY-INFLICTED ECONOMIC LOSS

Kenneth Warner

This article examines the modern history of liability in the law of negligence concerning claims for pure economic loss brought against public authorities. Key difficulties in the modern law on the subject are then elucidated. In doing so the author compares the approach to these claims in the jurisdictions of Australia, England and Wales, New Zealand and Canada. The author concludes that important issues remain unresolved and suggests a direction which might satisfy the courts' policy concerns and provide for an

RESETTLEMENT: FINDING THE NEW CHARTER OF RIGHTS

Darrell Barnett

The author examines the concept of "resettlement" in the context of Australian revenue law and particularly CGT Event E1 and suggests that the "whole substratum of the trust" test developed by Megarry J in Re Ball's Settlement [1968] 2 All ER 438 should not be applied in that context. The author then examines recent High Court authority and applicable principles of trust and property law as the foundation for a suggested new test to form the touchstone of whether an amendment or alteration to the terms of a trust deed amounts to a resettlement. Finally, the author applies the suggested test to CGT Event E1 and identifies the difficulties emerging from the Australian Tax Office's statement of principles in light of that test.

The Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS - Staff of Lawbook Co

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN FEBRUARY/ MARCH 2006

Antoun v The Queen (Procedure) ([2006] HCA 2)	497
Buckley v The Queen (Criminal Law) ([2006] HCA 7)	
Cummins (A Bankrupt), Trustees of the Property of v Cummins (<i>Bankruptcy; Equity; Evidence</i>) ([2006] HCA 6)	589
CSR Ltd v Della Maddalena (Appeal and New Trial; High Court of Australia; Torts) ([2006] HCA 1)	458
Ferdinands v Commissioner for Public Employment (Industrial Law; Police; Statutes) ([2006] HCA 5)	555
Halloran v Minister Administering National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (Conveyancing;	519
Nudd v The Queen (Criminal Law) ([2006] HCA 9)	614
Phillips v The Queen (Criminal Law) ([206] HCA 4)	537

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *The Australian Law Journal*, Lawbook Co, PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to alj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An
 abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- This Journal complies with DEST Specification 4.3.4 for the peer review process. Each article is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

- 2. Cases:
- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- For international cases best references only should be included.
- 3. Legislation should be cited as follows:
- Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4. Books should be cited as follows:

- Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co, 2002) p 55.
- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
- 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.5. Journals should be cited as follows:
- Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.
- Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.
- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
 - 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.
- 6. Internet references should be cited as follows:
- Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], <u>http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au</u> viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit the Lawbook Co website at <u>http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/</u> or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Australian Law Journal comprises twelve parts a year.

Customer Service and sales inquiries: Tel: 1300 304 195 61 2 8587 7980 Fax: 1300 304 196

Web: http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp

Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Advertising inquiries: JENNIFER OSBURN National Advertising Manager Tel: 61 3 9205 0606 Fax: 61 3 9853 0342 Email: Jennifer.Osburn@thomson.com.au

> Editorial inquiries: Tel: 61 2 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: 61 2 8587 7000 Fax: 61 2 8587 7100

THOMSON
*

© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 0004-9611

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW