
Index

Volume 12

Abuse of power, 20

Administrative Appeals Tribunal
creation, 25

Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act (ADJR) – *see also* Judicial review

administrative decisions
final and operative, 83–84, 96
public power test, 87–90
requirement, 81–83
under enactment, 84–87, 96, 136, 141

benefits, 80, 100

corporations
NEAT v AWB, 133–136

criticism of, 79–97
commentary, 98–102

enactment, 25

flexibility of review grounds, 91–96

general principles, 80, 93–96

Governor-General, exclusion of, 83, 96

ground of review, 80, 142
codification, 80

jurisdictional provisions, 80

justiciability, test of, 127–128, 132–136

migration decisions, 83

model for judicial review, 100

rule of restraint, 101

Administrative law

Daly's case, 12–15, 17

loss of liberty, 13

right to consult lawyers in private, 17

Administrative law – *continued*

joint seminar (Federal Court and Law Council, April 2004), 7–8

justiciability, test of — *see* Justiciability

policy and law, 17–18

remedies – *see* Remedies

Administrative review – *see also* Administrative Appeals Tribunal

reform in Britain and Australia, 185–204

applicants' views, 189–190

continuing debates, 190–202

accessibility and
judicialisation of tribunals,
200–202

amalgamation of tribunals,
190–191

fairness, timeliness and “justness”, 202

independence, 196

oral or “on the papers”
hearings, 197–199

representation, 199–200

role for non-legal members,
194–195

size and constitution of
tribunal panels, 191–194

tenure of members, 195–196

future directions, 203–204

income security entitlements,
186–188

Certiorari

judicial review, 24

Constitution

common law, relationship, 31–33

Constitution – *continued*

common law, relationship – *continued*

freedom of speech, 32
trial by jury, 32

constitutional writs, 22–34, 128
errors of law, 27–28, 31, 33
High Court decisions, 30
prerogative writs, 36, 103

difference, 30
name change, 22, 24–25

executive power and Chapter II, 67
limits on, 67, 125

High Court, jurisdiction, 22–23

interpretation, 31–32

judicial powers, 31

judicial review – *see* Judicial review

legislative power, 68

ouster clauses, 122–123

Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia – *see* Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia

remedies – *see* Remedies

review, 22

rule of law and Chapter III, 69–71

separation of powers, doctrine of, 68–69, 100, 109

standing

matter, concept of, 48–49, 60–61
requirements, 46–49

uncodified, 115–118, 120–125

Britain, 115

Coroners, 20–21

Declarations and injunctions, 35–54

availability of alternative relief, 52–53

court's power to grant remedies, 35–54

Declarations and injunctions – *continued*

court's power to grant remedies – *continued*

limits, 43–46

criminal cases, 50–52

issues ancillary to, 51
exceptional cases, 46

difference, 37

discretionary grounds for refusing relief, 53

framing, 53–54

Trade Practices Act, under, 54

history, 35

judicial power, limits, 43

negative declarations, 55–63

Australian, US and English approach, 56–63

definitions, 56–57

federal consequences, 56

federal jurisdiction, 60–63

origins of remedy, 57–60

power to grant, 36–37

special interest, 39–42, 48

standing, 38–39, 41, 44–49

Deference, 12

Error of fact, 20

Federal Court – *see* Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act (ADJR); Judicial review

Freedom of information

Cabinet “deliberations” and “purely factual matter” (Re Toomer), 209–225

exceptions for purely factual matter, 216–218

meaning of “deliberation”, 211–216

Freedom of information – *continued*

Cabinet “deliberations” and
“purely factual matter” (Re Toomer)
– *continued*

need for amendments, 223–225

prisoners (Minogue v Department of
Justice), 163–174

bad precedents in administrative
decision making, 169–170

grounds of argument, 167–168

implications, 170–

access to courts, 173–175

breakdown in good order and
security: information as a
threat, 171–172

reduction in authority and
discipline, 172–173

rule of law, 170–171

unreasonable interference test,
168–169

United Kingdom, 153–162

Freedom of Information Act
2000, 154–157

dealing with requests, 156–57

duty to confirm or deny, 154

exemptions, 155–156

review structure, 157

right of access, 154–155

third party rights, 157

prejudice, 159–160

procedure

implications for judicial
review proceedings, 161–162

public interest, 157–159

High Court – *see also* Constitution

certiorari, 24, 27, 129

constitutional judicial review, 26–27

constitutional writs, 24–25

jurisdiction, 22–23, 26, 71

Human rights

applications, asylum seekers

statistics, 21

Coroner’s Rules

allocation of blame, juries, 21

European Convention on Human
Rights, 10, 102

breaches, 20–21

homosexual servicemen and
women, ban, 16

respect for private life, 16

Human Rights Act 1998 (UK), 10,
16–17, 87, 94, 102

loss of liberty, 12–13

prison conditions, 12–13, 20–21, 102

fair treatment, 20

public authorities, breaches, 10

Immigration — *see also* Judicial review

High Court, jurisdiction of, 122

legislation

ouster clauses, 120

Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth
of Australia – *see* Plaintiff S157/2002
v Commonwealth of Australia

review of decisions

Federal Court, jurisdiction, 25

High Court, role, 26

jurisdiction, failure to exercise, 28

jurisdictional error, 28

Migration Act, 79, 116, 120, 144

unreasonableness, 92–93

procedural fairness, denial of, 28

student visas

mandatory cancellation, 175–184

grounds for cancellation,
176–179

inconsistent policy, 179–180

process, 180–183

Injunctions — *see* Declarations and injunctions

Judicial education

administrative law, 7–8

Judicial review — *see also* Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act; Human rights; Judiciary Act

abuse of power, 20

breach of contract, 20

officer of state, 20

aggrieved person, definition, 42

Australia, development in, 22–29

certiorari, 24, 27

Chevron doctrine, US, 49–50

common law, 80–85

non-statutory powers, inclusion of, 85

constitutional foundation, 22–23

constitutional writs, 22–34, 84, 99

deference, 12

discretion

Court, 27

Parliament, 33

England and Wales, development of, 9–21, 87, 95

Federal Court, 79

government commercial decisions, 85

government power, 87

grounds of, 14, 80

corporations

NEAT v AWB, 133–136

factual mistake, 98

jurisdictional facts, 99

scrutiny test, 14

unfairness, 20

Hickman principle, 64–66, 71, 119–120

privative clause, 65–66, 71

Judicial review – *continued*

High Court jurisdiction, 22–23

jurisdictional error, 26–29, 33, 80–81, 93–94, 101, 117

non-jurisdictional error, 27–28

legislative restrictions, 22

migration decisions, 25–26, 83, 120

minimum provision, 23, 64–72

common law grounds, 66–67

natural justice, 85

New South Wales

public body or official, 100

non-statutory exercises of power, 105

officer of the Commonwealth, 25, 28, 143

scope to sue, 23

ouster clauses, 116–117, 120

overhaul, 25

permissible levels, 65

Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia – *see* Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia

private power, exclusion of, 87

procedural fairness – *see* Procedural fairness

procedure and protocol, 21–22

proportionality, 15–17

test, 16

reach beyond government, 87–90

remedies – *see* Remedies

standing, 10–11, 43

statute, giving effect to, 67

ultra vires doctrine, 20, 103, 105, 112, 139

Wednesbury doctrine, 15–17, 71

error of fact, 20

principles of, 20, 45

unreasonableness, 99, 102

Judiciary Act – *see also* Judicial review

- Commonwealth officers, restriction to, 90
- jurisdiction, 79–85, 90, 101, 128
- justiciability, 129
- officer of the Commonwealth, 128
- Justiciability of administrative action, 126–147
- ADJR Act, 127–128, 132–136
- Australian tests, 126–147
- corporatised government business enterprises (GBEs), 126–127, 132–133, 142
- NEAT v AWEB, 88–89, 126, 132–147
- power to make contracts, 142
- decision-makers, 127–133, 136, 140–146
- public authority, as, 145
- ground of review, 142
- non-statutory bodies, 129–130, 143
- private v public distinctions, 144–147
- required or authorised test, 137–139
- source of power, 127, 139–140
- State Supreme Courts, 130
- statutory power, implied, 138–139
- Law Council of Australia
- joint seminar on administrative law (April 2004), 7–8
- Legitimate expectation – *see* Procedural fairness
- Mandamus
- judicial review, 22–34
- Migration – *see* Immigration
- Natural justice – *see* Procedural fairness
- Ouster clauses, 116–125
- Overseas students – *see* Immigration
- Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia, 115–125
- constitutional rules
- formal, 122–124
- uncodified, 121–122
- denial of natural justice, 115, 122
- Hickman principle, 119–121
- High Court, jurisdiction, 122–123
- ouster clauses, 116–125
- Prisoners– *see also* Freedom of information
- applications to challenge prison administration, 163–174
- public law, 20–21
- Procedural fairness
- Canada, 110
- certiorari, unavailability of, 104
- Cooper principle, 103–105
- detrimental reliance, 107
- England
- abuse of power, 109
- substantive fairness, 108
- government and agencies, 105
- legitimate expectation, 18–20, 106–108
- commentary on, 111–114
- substantive protection of, 108–110
- Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia – *see* Plaintiff S157/2002 v Commonwealth of Australia
- practical injustice, 107–108
- prerogative writ, relief by, 104
- professional bodies, membership, 103
- right or interest, 106
- statutory discretion, 103

Index

- Prohibition
 judicial review, 22–34
- Public law
 England and Wales, development of, 9–21
 equity, 39–40
 human rights, and – *see also* Human rights
 Daly’s case, 12–14
 prisons and conditions, 13
 policy and law, 17–18
 procedural fairness – *see* Procedural fairness
 public body exercising private power, 131, 135–136, 140
 public authorities, definition, 10
 charities, 10
 church councils, 10
 contracting out, 10
 health authorities, 19
 remedies – *see* Remedies
 rule of law, reinforcement, 12
- Remedies – *see also* Constitution; Mandamus; Prohibition
 alternative relief, 52
 declarations and injunctions — *see* Declarations and injunctions
 discretionary relief, 37, 40, 53, 63
 grounds for refusal, 53
 standing — *see* Standing
- Social Security Appeals Tribunals – *see* Administrative review
- Standing, 10–12
 constitutional cases, 46–48
 declarations and injunctions, 38–39, 41, 44–49
 equitable relief, 39–42
 interested person, 43
 person affected, 43
 pecuniary interest, requisite, 11
 Rose Theatre case, 11–12
- Student visas – *see* Immigration
- Visas – *see* Immigration
-