

---

## Table of Article Authors

---

ADENEY, ELIZABETH	
Australia's first moral rights decision: A critical approach to Meskenas v ACP Publishing .....	74
CHRISTIE, SAMANTHA	
Copyright protection of computer program structure in Australia: Does it exist? .....	163
COX, NOEL	
Copyright in primary legal materials in common law jurisdictions .....	89
GINSBURG, JANE C and SAM RICKETSON	
Separating Sony sheep from Grokster (and Kazaa) goats: Reckoning future business plans of copyright-dependent technology entrepreneurs .....	10
HAINES, THOMAS	
Are Australian patentees sufficiently motivated? .....	106
JENSEN, PAUL H, ALFONS PALANGKARAYA and ELIZABETH WEBSTER	
Application outcomes and pendency times across four patent offices .....	178
LAWSON, CHARLES	
Public interest compulsory licensing under the Patents Act 1990 (Cth): Real incentive or a barrier to working? .....	129
Quantum of obviousness in Australian patent laws .....	43
LINDSAY, DAVID	
Copyright protection of broadcast program schedules: IceTV before the High Court .....	196
MORGAN, OWEN J	
Legislation to control ambush marketing: The New Zealand model .....	148
PILA, JUSTINE	
Compilation copyright: A matter calling "for a certain ... sobriety" .....	231



---

# Index

---

**Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights** *see* **TRIPS Agreement**

**Ambush marketing controls in New Zealand**

Major Events Management Act 2007 (MEMA), under, 148, 151–62

- aims, 148
- ambush marketing by association, 155–7
- ambush marketing by intrusion, 157–60
- declaration of major event and major event emblems and words, 153–4
- main features, 151–3
- other offences, 160
- specific provisions, 153–61

protection for event organisers and sponsors, 149–60

- general legislation, under, 149–51
- MEMA, under, 148, 151–62

**Australia**

copyright ownership of legal statutes, 89, 92–3

- access to electronic statutes, 100–1

**Australia–United States Free Trade Agreement of 2004 (AUSFTA)**

aims, 28–9

Australian provisions for copyright infringement, 28–36

- broader policy implications, 36–7
- Copyright Act 1968, under, 28, 30–6
- general observations, 36, 37
- options for intermediary liability, 38–41
- relevant conditions, 32–6
- statutory limitations on remedies, 30–1

minimum patent standards, under, 53

service provider liability limitations, 28–41

- justifications regarding, 37–42

USA Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), and, 28–41

**Australian Law Reform Commission (ALRC)**

rejection of excluded subject matter, 6

**Authorship**

attribution of, 75–8

- eliding and false attribution, 79–83

IceTV case, 224–6

identification of, 224–6

pre-internet publishing world, in, 10

public communication of works online, 10–11

service providers *see* **Online service providers**

**Berne Convention**

moral rights, 83

**Book review**

International Domain Name Law ICANN and the UDRP, 72–3

**Broadcast program schedules**

copyright protection of, 196–230  
  identifying work, 209–12  
  kind of skill and labour, 221–3  
  minimum amount of skill and labour, 218–21  
  originality, 213–17  
  preliminary skill and labour, 217  
  substantiality, 212–13

**Canada**

copyright ownership of legal statutes, 89, 93–6  
  access to electronic statutes, 101–3  
  reproduction of Federal Law Order, 94–6

**Competition Principles Agreement**

limits on anti-competitive conduct, 53  
patents and, 53, 63–5

**Compilation copyright**

Copyright Act 1842 (UK), 233–9  
Copyright Act 1911 (UK), 207  
definition of 'compilation', 207–9  
IceTV case *see* **IceTV case**  
identifying the work, 209–12  
literary copyright in compilations as books, 233–9  
literary copyright in compilations as original literary works, 239–42  
originality, 213–17  
post-1911 Anglo-Australian legislation  
  1911 Act, 239–42  
  since 1956, 243–53  
right to reprint to right to reproduce, from, 253–6  
substantiality, 212–13

**Computer program structure**

copyright protection of, 163–77  
  making of computer program, 164–5  
  meaning of computer program, 166–7  
program structure, 167–8  
  flowchart, 170–1  
  organisation of modules or subroutines, 172–7  
  works comprising program structure, 168  
  written outline, 169–70

**Copyright**

compilation *see* **Compilation copyright**  
computer program structure in Australia, protection of, 163–77  
Copyright Amendment Act 1984 (Cth), 163  
Digital Agenda amendments, 18  
fact/expression dichotomy, 226–30  
liability for authorisation under Australian law, 18–20  
moral rights *see* **Moral rights**  
national systems, 10  
ownership of primary legal materials *see* **Ownership of primary legal materials**

**Copyright infringement**

- business plans for inducing/authorising, 10
  - entrepreneur facilitating website, 11
  - intent to induce infringement, 14–17
- good faith *see* **Good faith**
- liability for, 10–18
  - authorisation under Copyright Act 1968, 18–20, 28
  - contributory infringement, 12, 17
  - justifications for limitations, 37–42
  - safe harbours in Australia, 10, 28–42
  - safe harbours in USA, 10, 12, 21–8
  - secondary liability in USA, 10, 11, 12–18, 22
  - statutory limitations for service providers, 20–41
  - statutory rules in Australia, 10, 11, 28–42
  - USA cases, 12–18
  - vicarious liability, 12
- moral rights *see* **Moral rights**
- profit-motivated failure to filter, 16–17
- profitability of, 10, 16
- recommendations for reform, 10

**Copyright infringer**

- online publishing entrepreneur, 11

**Court of Appeal**

- English decisions on patentability and excluded subject matter, 7–8

**Desktop case**

- compilation copyright, 231–66

**Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA)**

- AUSFTA and, 28–41
- copyright owners and service provider interests, 21–8
  - direct financial benefit, 25–6
  - knowledge or awareness, 24–5
  - right and ability to control infringing activity, 27–8
  - service provider, defined, 22–3
  - storage at direction of user, 23–4

**Domain Name System (DNS)**

- arrangements, 72
- dispute resolution systems, 72–3
- Top-level Domains (TLDs), 72

**Europe**

- threshold entitlement to patentability, 6
  - exclusions from concept of invention, 6–8

**European Patent Convention (EPC)**

- invention, under, 6
  - provenance of Art<sup>52</sup> and 53, 6–8

**European Patent Office (EPO)**

- decisions regarding patentability and excluded subject matter, 7–8
- jurisprudence, 7

**Federal Court decisions**

Genetics Institute v Kirin-Amgen, 62  
Universal Music, 10, 11, 19–20  
    implications, 10, 11–12, 19–20

**Federal Magistrates' Court decisions**

Meskenas v ACP Publishing, 74–88

**Free-to-air (FTA) broadcasters**

advertisers, exposing viewers to, 198  
broadcast program schedules, access to, 198  
threats to FTA model, 197–9

**Good faith**

obligations to avoid copyright infringements, 10, 11–12

**Grokster case**

decision in USA Supreme Court, 11, 14, 40  
    findings, 14–15  
    implications, 10, 11–17, 21, 27  
infringing and non-infringing use, 13–14  
liability for contributory infringement and vicarious liability, 12–17  
Ninth Circuit decision, 13–14  
    copyright allegations by songwriters and producers, 13

**IceTV case**

authorship, 224–6  
compilations, subsistence of copyright in, 207–12, 256–66  
contextualising dispute, 197–9  
copyright policy, 226–30  
fact/expression dichotomy, 226–30  
facts of case, 199–200  
first instance decision, 200–1  
Full Federal Court decision, 201–6  
infringement of copyright  
    kind of skill and labour, 221–3  
    minimum amount of skill and labour, 218–21  
    originality, 213–17  
    preliminary skill and labour, 217  
    substantiality, 212  
issues before High Court, 206–7  
weekly schedules, literary copyright in, 256–60  
    infringement of, 260–4

**Innovative step**

patent requirement, 43, 49–50  
    different consequences for threshold, 63–4  
    Lockwood Security Products v Doric Products, in, 51–3, 55, 58

**Innovator**

technology insulation, where, 10

**Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN)**

dispute resolution systems, 72–3

**Internet service providers** *see* **Online service providers**

**Invention**

computer processing apparatus, as, 9  
European exclusions from concept of, 6–8  
historical development, 107–11  
    changing relationship, 111  
    claimed monopoly, 109–10  
    fair basis, 110–11  
    instruction of public, 107  
    patent bargain, 107–9  
    sufficiency, 109  
threshold entitlement to patentability, 6

**Kazaa case**

Federal Court decision, 11, 40  
    implications, 13, 18–20

**Moorhouse case**

authorised copying/awareness of infringing acts, 18, 20

**Moral rights**

attribution, 75–8  
    available defences to infringement action, 76–7, 78  
    eliding and false attribution, 79–83  
    history of false attribution right, 80  
    international considerations, 82–3  
    issue of falsity, 77  
    obligation by defendant to make, 83–4  
    proper, 83  
    question of reasonableness, 77  
    right against false attribution of authorship, 76  
    right of attribution, 75–6  
    United Kingdom cases on false attribution, 85–6  
Berne Convention, 83  
damages for breach of, 78, 87  
    calculation of, 85–7  
    link with breach of copyright, 84–5  
incidental use of work, 83  
Meskenas v ACP Publishing decision, 74–88  
    alleged breaches of Copyright Act, 75  
    costs, 78  
    facts, 74  
    litigation, 75, 87  
    Magistrate's findings, 74, 75–87  
    main arguments and outcomes, 77–8  
    questionable aspects, regarding, 78–87

**Napster rule**

copyright infringement controversy, 13–14

**National Competition Policy**

application, 53

**New Zealand**

- ambush marketing, legislation to control, 148–62
  - aims, 148
  - ambush marketing by association, 155–7
  - ambush marketing by intrusion, 157–60
  - declaration of major event and major event emblems and words, 153–4
  - main features, 151–3
  - other offences, 160
  - specific provisions, 153–61
- copyright ownership of statutes, 89–92, 96–8, 103
  - access to electronic statutes, 103

**Online service providers**

- copyright infringement
  - Australian limitations on liability, 28–42
  - broader policy implications, 36–7
  - filters for, 16–18, 41
  - liability under WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996, 20, 37
  - relevant conditions, 32–6
  - statutory limitations on remedies, 30–1
  - USA limitations on liability, 12, 21–8
- definitions, limits regarding, 37
  - carriage service provider, 29–30, 37
  - internet service provider under Broadcasting Services Act 1993, 37
- Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), under, 21–8
  - AUSFTA and, 28–41
  - direct financial benefit, 25–6
  - knowledge or awareness, 24–5
  - right and ability to control infringing activity, 27–8
  - service provider, defined, 22–3
  - storage at direction of user, 23–4
- intermediary liability, 38–9
  - Australian options, 39–41
- justifications for safe harbours, 37–41
- Perfect 10 v Amazon.com, 17
- Perfect 10 v CC Bill, 23–5
- takedown notices, 41–2

**Ownership of primary legal materials**

- copyright ownership of legislation and regulations, 89, 105
  - Australia, in, 89, 92–3, 100–1
  - Canada, in, 89, 93–6, 101–3
  - New Zealand, in, 89–92, 96–8, 103
  - United Kingdom, in, 89, 98–9
  - United States, in, 89, 91, 99–100, 103–5

**Patents**

- application outcomes, systematic differences in, 178–90
  - dataset construction, 181
  - patent office examination flowcharts, 181
  - patent pendency period, 186–90
  - possible application outcomes, 182–6
- assessing patentability, 43, 123
- Australian Patent Office (APO)
  - application outcomes, 178–90
  - pendency times, 178–90

- Australian patent specifications, 106–7
  - amendment provisions, 120–1
  - applicants, legal “loophole” for, 119–20
  - best method, 106, 116–19
  - fair basis, 106, 111–16, 123–4
  - grant of patent monopoly, requirements, 106
  - High Court decisions, 106, 111–13, 116, 118–9
  - historical development, 107–11
  - infringement proceedings as catalyst, 119–23
  - internal requirements, 106–7, 123–4
  - legislative amendment, 122–3
  - misrepresentation/fraud provisions, 121–2
  - prospects of failure, 122
  - relevant time, 115–16, 120, 123
  - sufficiency, 106, 111–14, 120, 124
- Competition Principles Agreement and, 53, 63–5
- compulsory licensing under Patents Act, 129–47
  - addressing “not being worked”, “commercial scale” and “capable of being worked”, 141–3
  - analysis of limitations, 145–7
  - authorisation for reasonable period, terms and conditions, 130–2
  - background, 129–30
  - certain circumstances for restricting exclusive rights, 147
  - “existing” or “establishment of new” “trade or industry”, 133–4
  - limitations, summary of, 145–7
  - manufacture to “adequate extent”, 138–40
  - no satisfactory reason for failing to exploit, 143–5
  - reasonable terms requirement, 135–8
  - satisfying reasonable requirements of public, 132–43
  - “unfairly prejudiced by conditions”, 140–1
  - “unfairly prejudiced ... trade or industry”, 134–5
- concept of obviousness, 44, 55
  - High Court decisions, 45–53, 55–9, 61, 65
  - threshold quantum of obviousness, 43–5, 55, 64–5
- difficulty of grant of standard patent, 43
- European Patent Convention (EPC), under, 6–8
- European Patent Office (EPO)
  - application outcomes, 178–90
  - pendency times, 178–90
- excluded subject matter, 6–8
- Genetics Institute v Kirin-Amgen, 62
- innovative step requirement, 43, 49–50
  - different consequences for threshold, 63–4
- Japanese Patent Office (JPO)
  - application outcomes, 178–90
  - pendency times, 178–90
- Lockwood Security Products v Doric Products, in, 51–3, 55, 58, 116, 118–9
- National Research Development Corporation v Commissioner of Patents, 8–9
- Patent Regulations, suggested amendment, 122–3
- Patents Act 1990 (Cth), under, 43–5, 49–54, 55, 59, 106, 111, 114–16, 123
  - amendments, 51, 54–5
- Patents Act 1952 (Cth), under, 44, 45–9, 55, 111
  - novelty and obviousness as grounds of opposition, 45
- petty patent scheme, 51
- prior art base, defined, 50–1
- systems, streamlining of, 180

## Index

---

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)  
application outcomes, 178–90  
pendency times, 178–90

### **Protected works**

unlawful copies or communications, of, 10

**Service providers** *see* **Online service providers**

### **Sony Corp of America v Universal City Studio**

Betamax case, 12–13, 27  
decision in USA Supreme Court, 12  
application of standard to Grokster decision, 14

### **Technology entrepreneurs**

business plans for inducing or “authorising”  
copyright infringement, 10  
copyright infringement cases, 12–18  
dual purpose technologies, 11  
filters, 16–18  
recommendations for reform, 10

### **TRIPS Agreement**

limitations on imposition of compulsory licences, 39  
minimum patent standards, 53

### **United Kingdom**

cases on false attribution, 85–6  
copyright ownership of statutes, 89, 98–9  
invention, historical development of, 107–11  
changing relationship, 111  
claimed monopoly, 109–10  
fair basis, 110–11  
instruction of public, 107  
patent bargain, 107–9  
sufficiency, 109  
Patents, Designs and Trade Marks Act 1883 (IMP), 109, 129

### **Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP)**

dispute resolution systems, 72–3  
procedural rules for complainant, 72–3

### **United States of America**

copyright infringement liability, 10, 12–18  
cases, 12–18, 21–5  
federal common law, 12–18  
statutory limitations on, 12, 21–8  
copyright ownership of legislation and regulations, 89, 91, 99–100  
access to electronic statutes, 103–5  
Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), 21–8  
AUSFTA and, 28–41  
Fair Use Principles for User Generated Video Content, 18, 28  
Principles for User Generated Content Services, 12, 27, 41

### **WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996 (WCT)**

liability for online infringements under, 20, 37