
Australian Law Journal

GENERAL EDITOR
Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

THOMSON REUTERS EDITOR
Cheryle King

ASSISTANT GENERAL EDITORS

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Ruth Higgins <i>Barrister, Sydney</i> | Angelina Gomez <i>Lawyer, Perth</i> | Clare Langford <i>Solicitor, Sydney</i> |
|--|--|--|

The mode of citation of this volume is

(2016) 90 ALJ [page]

The Australian Law Journal is a refereed journal.

Australian Law Journal Reports

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Carolyn May

CASE REPORTERS

John Carroll
Colleen Tognetti

The mode of citation of this volume is:

90 ALJR [page]

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 90, Number 1

January 2016

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

| | |
|--|----|
| Happy New Year | 7 |
| 50 years ago | 7 |
| Penalties | 8 |
| Court delays | 8 |
| Property Law List (New South Wales) | 9 |
| Moral responsibility for one's actions | 9 |
| Helpful judges are well remembered | 10 |
| It can be helpful to be wrong | 10 |
| Australian Law Journal and ProView | 11 |

CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editor: Peter Butt

| | |
|--|----|
| Statutory warfare, round 2: Has the High Court confused the law of illegality? | 12 |
|--|----|

CRIME AND EVIDENCE – Editor: Justice Phillip Priest

| | |
|---|----|
| Consistency in sentencing federal offenders | 17 |
|---|----|

CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES – Editor: Robert Baxt AO

| | |
|---|----|
| Review of the ability of ASIC to undertake new challenges | 20 |
|---|----|

RECENT CASES – Editor: Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

| | |
|---|----|
| Penalties: English view | 22 |
| Tracing: Backwards tracing – Privy Council breaks new ground | 23 |
| Whether accountants' organisation disciplinary section providing services | 24 |
| Crime: Misconduct in public office – Elements of the offence | 24 |
| Contracts: Abandonment – Principles | 25 |
| Solicitors: Client becomes incapable – Is retainer frustrated? | 25 |
| Freezing orders: Whether defendant can obtain loan funds | 26 |
| Land tax (Victoria): Mandamus to compel refunds after 20 years | 26 |
| Contra proferentem rule | 27 |

ARTICLES

MAGNA CARTA AND THE EXECUTIVE

James Spigelman

In this his third article on Magna Carta, the author makes the point that the real significance of Magna Carta is not its status as a sacred text, but rather its introduction of four themes which were developed over the centuries into basic principles of government. These four themes are identified and their development traced in detail as government by a feudal absolute king was transformed into today's democratic system.

29

MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING: WHY ACCEPTING AN INVITATION DID NOT MAKE DYSON HEYDON AC, QC APPEAR BIASED

Louise Floyd

This article analyses the reasons for rejecting the recent apprehended bias application made by unions against Royal Commissioner Dyson Heydon – not for anything he had done in running the Royal Commission into Trade Union Governance, nor in writing his 1,700-page interim report, but because of his accepting an extra-curricular invitation to give the Sir Garfield Barwick Address. Apprehended bias requires proof of pre-judgment, rather than suspicion of possible private predisposition (which is where the unions failed). Relevantly, the author argues that law schools should emphasise the positive role judges play in society; and that a greater emphasis should be placed on those who send emails to make key points abundantly clear.

38

THE TYRANNY OF SMALL DIFFERENCES: CULPABILITY GULF BETWEEN SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE TESTS FOR EXTENDED JOINT CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE IN AUSTRALIA

Laura Stockdale

At present there is an imbalance in the legal scholarship on extended joint criminal enterprise (EJCE) in Australia. While the subjective test applied in the common law jurisdictions has been widely criticised for extending liability too far, legal scholars have overlooked the objective test in the criminal codes of Queensland, Western Australia and Tasmania. This article seeks to remedy this situation by comparing the subjective and objective tests for EJCE in detail and evaluating each test by inquiring whether it limits criminal liability to secondary participants who are sufficiently culpable. It argues that only the subjective test limits liability to secondary participants who are sufficiently culpable, as only those participants who have consciously taken the risk that the additional crime will occur are liable for that crime.

44

BOOK REVIEW – Editor:Angelina Gomez

Residential Tenancies: Law and Practice – New South Wales (6th ed) by Allan Anforth,
Peter Christensen and Sophie Bentwood

66

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN OCTOBER/DECEMBER 2015

| | |
|--|-----|
| Fair Work Ombudsman v Quest South Perth Holdings Pty Ltd (<i>Employment Law; Industrial Law</i>) ([2015] HCA 45) | 107 |
| Immigration and Border Protection, Minister for v WZARH (<i>Administrative Law; Citizenship and Migration</i>) ([2015] HCA 40) | 25 |
| Macoun v Federal Commissioner of Taxation (<i>Taxes and Duties</i>) ([2015] HCA 44) | 93 |
| North Australian Aboriginal Justice Agency Ltd v Northern Territory (<i>Constitutional Law; Criminal Law</i>) ([2015] HCA 41) | 38 |
| R v Beckett (<i>Criminal Law</i>) ([2015] HCA 38) | 1 |
| R v Pham (<i>Criminal Law</i>) ([2015] HCA 39) | 13 |
| Vella v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (<i>Citizenship and Migration</i>) ([2015] HCA 42) | 89 |