AUSTRALIAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY JOURNAL

Volume 15, Number 2

May 2004

DITORIAL

ARTICLES

The American experience with trademark anti-dilution law – J Thomas McCarthy

The question has recently been asked whether Australia should protect well-known or famous trade marks from being diluted. The United States has lived with a federal antidilution law for eight years. This has presented new and perplexing questions. Traditional trademark law rests primarily on a tort-like policy of protection of customers from mistake and deception. But anti-dilution law resembles an absolute property right in a trade mark. In 2003, the United States Supreme Court rendered its first interpretation of the federal anti-dilution law in the *Victoria's Secret case*. The United States experience is examined and discussed, with the goal of providing guidance for the future of Australian trademark law. 70

Geographical indications, WIPO and TRIPs – where to from here? – *Susan Farquhar*

Geographical indications have emerged in recent years as a significant and sensitive topic from both the intellectual property protection and the trade protection perspectives. The two key international fora responsible for debating and developing policy in these areas, the World Intellectual Property Organization and the World Trade Organization TRIPs Council, have devoted considerable resources and time to consideration of appropriate definition and treatment of this element of the intellectual property system. A major challenge has been to balance the political interests driving some aspects of the debate with the interests of maintaining coherence within the intellectual property system. 82

Trade-offs in trade mark protection – an economic analysis – *Alexandra Folie and Stephen P King*

Determining the optimal level of trade mark protection requires a careful balancing of market incentives. On the one hand, trade marks and branding provide valuable information to consumers, reduce search costs, enable high quality firms to signal their superiority to customers and create an incentive for firms to supply higher quality products. On the other hand, trade mark law may act as a barrier to entry for new firms, if it unreasonably restricts an entrant's ability to inform customers. This article surveys the economic literature on branding and incentives, drawing lessons for trade mark law. Specifically, it is suggested that the law should consider whether the firm accused of breaching a trade mark intended to legitimately inform customers, or intended simply to deceive. While no suggestions are given for the specific legal formulation of such a test, the article warns against the trend of continuing increases in trade mark protection. 87

National icons and the Trade Marks Act 1995 - Owen Morgan

This article answers the question – should national icons be protected under the *Trade Marks Act 1995* (Cth)? In considering whether extended trade mark protection for national icons is justified, the public interest in access to a national icon must be balanced against the right of a specific person or group to restrict that access in order to preserve a "core message" associated with the icon. It is also a matter of assessing the harm that might arise as a consequence of not providing extended protection. The article concludes that the absence of specific protection under the Act has not resulted in a level of harm that would justify further restriction on the public's access to national icons. The Act should not be amended.

Shape trade marks: The role and relevance of functionality and aesthetics in determining their registrability – *Mark Davison*

Patterns of trademarking activity in Australia – Paul H Jensen and Elizabeth Webster

Trade marks in the future – an IP Australia perspective – Peter Tucker

NEWS AND CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

News from IP Australia	132
News from the Attorney-General's Department	133

BOOK REVIEW

A Pra	ctical	Guide	to	Protecting	Confidential	Business	Information	by	Margaret
Jackso	on								136

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Australian Intellectual Property Journal*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to aipj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.lawbookco.com.au/authorsupport/d_authorJournals.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proof pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes to the text cannot be accommodated.
- Contributors of articles receive 25 free offprints of their article and a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- Articles published are critically appraised or reviewed by an academic or professional peer of the author for the purpose of maintaining the standards of the journal.

Style

1. Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).

2. Cases:

- Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first listed being the authorised reference.
- Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
- "At" references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
- Where only a media neutral citation is available, "at" references should be to paragraph, eg: YG v Minister for Community Services [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
- For international cases best references only should be included.
- 3. Legislation should be cited as follows:

Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.

4. Books should be cited as follows:

- Macken JJ, O'Grady P, Sappideen C and Warburton G, The Law of Employment (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 55.
- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - 4. Austin RP, "Constructive Trusts" in Finn PD (ed), Essays in Equity (Law Book Co, 1985).
 - 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.

5. Journals should be cited as follows:

Odgers S, "Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development" (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220.

Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles.

- In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. The following style is preferred:
 - Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, "Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations" (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
 - 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.

6. Internet references should be cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [16.340], <u>http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au</u> viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit the Lawbook Co. website at http://www.lawbookco.com.au or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Australian Intellectual Property Journal comprises four parts a year.

Customer Service and sales inquiries: Tel: 1800 650 522 Fax: 61 2 8587 7200 Web: <u>www.lawbookco.com.au</u> Email: <u>service@thomson.com.au</u>

> Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1038-1635

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW