AUSTRALIAN BUSINESS LAW REVIEW

Volume 37, Number 1

February 2009

EDITORIAL5

ARTICLES

Indemnities, gross negligence and the "accidental insurer" - Nuncio D'Angelo

Despite being widely used in Australian commerce, indemnities have stubbornly defied attempts at precise definition and consistent analysis in the courts. The use of the word "indemnity" in a clause or document does not assure a certain outcome in relation to effect, operation or remedy, and the authorities are in a state of confusion about the rules to apply in interpreting and construing indemnities. One area of great uncertainty is the degree to which an indemnity can operate to indemnify (or, in a sense, "insure") the beneficiary against the consequences of their own negligence — regardless of the indemnifier's intentions in that respect. The discussion raises the practical questions of whether to include a carve-out in relation to the beneficiary's negligence, and the related question of whether it is worth arguing over the difference between "negligence" and "gross negligence" in negotiating such carve-outs.

Rethinking unconscionable conduct under the Trade Practices Act – Peter Strickland

7

19

The courts have so far given the word "unconscionable" in s 51AC of the Trade Practices Act its ordinary dictionary meaning. There are, however, some tensions within that meaning as to what constitutes unconscionable conduct within s 51AC. Those tensions, combined with the standard, rather than rule, proscribed by the ordinary meaning create a degree of uncertainty in law and contract compared to the position under the general law. This paper identifies the prevailing judicial views on s 51AC and seeks to ascertain the true meaning of unconscionable conduct under s 51AC in accordance with modern statutory interpretation principles. The paper then explores the impact of this interpretation in two areas: cases where clear unfairness and opportunism have been found; and the case of small to medium-size business transacting with large business.

The competence and diligence required of trustees of a 21st century superannuation fund -M Scott Donald

Trustees of superannuation funds in Australia are required by legislation to exercise "the same degree of care, skill and diligence as an ordinary prudent person would exercise in dealing with property of another for whom the person felt morally bound to provide". This contrasts the position in comparable jurisdictions, and the position at general law, where a more expert level of skill is typically required. This paper argues that the difference, while not as marked as it appears on the surface, is nevertheless important. The paper argues that under statute trustees are not expected to be experts in all areas of superannuation fund investment and administration. Rather they are expected to contribute a layer of common sense across the full range of activities of the superannuation fund. The paper also argues that this standard remains in place, even where the trustee embarks on a more "corporatised" set of activities, at least in so far as its obligations qua trustee are concerned. The paper does not however attempt to question whether trustees ought to be

(2009) 37 ABLR 1

determine the activities of the superannuation fund. That input is especially valuable because it is likely to be complementary to the expert judgments of their advisers and agents and also because of the "public" nature of superannuation funds in Australia	50
COMPANY LAW AND SECURITIES – Robert Baxt AO	
A new deal for directors? At last some movement on the personal liability front	63

2 (2009) 37 ABLR 1

Submission requirements

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be emailed to the Production Editor, Australian Business Law Review, at LTA.ablr@thomsonreuters.com for forwarding to the Editor.

Licences

It is a condition of publication in the journal that contributors complete a licence agreement. Licence agreements can be
downloaded at http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission or mailed
separately to the Production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission of the production Editor, https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission of the production Editor, <a href="https://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/support/as contributors.asp and emailed with the submission of the production of the productio

Letters to the Editor

• By submitting a letter to the Editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Reuters, trading as Lawbook Co, may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted or accepted for publication elsewhere, including for online publication.
- Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript on a separate page.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 10,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews.
- An abstract of 100-150 words must be included at the head of articles.
- Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Proof pages will be emailed to contributors but excessive changes cannot be accommodated.
- Graphics (diagrams and graphs) to be grayscale; in .jpeg format; no more than 12 cm in width; within a box; of high resolution (at least 300 dpi); font is to be Times New Roman, no more than 10pt. The heading for a graphic should be placed outside the box.

Peer review

• This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to acceptance, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

- 1. Levels of headings must be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).
- 2. Unpointed style is to be used there are no full stops after any abbreviation or contraction.
- 3. Cases:
 - Where a case is cited in the text, the citation follows immediately after the case name, not as a footnote.
 - Authorised reports must be cited where published, and one other reference can be used in addition.
 - For "at" references use media-neutral paragraph numbers within square brackets whenever available.
 - For international cases best references only should be used.
- 4. Legislation is cited as follows:
 - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth), s 51AC (including in full within footnotes).
- 5. Books are cited as follows:
 - Ross D, Ross on Crime (3rd ed, Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2006) pp 100-101.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ¹ Hayton D, "Unique Rules for the Unique Institution, The Trust" in Degeling S and Edelman J (eds), *Equity in Commercial Law* (Lawbook Co, Sydney, 2005) p 284.
 - ² Hayton, n 1, p 286.
- 6. **Journals** are cited as follows:
 - Kirby M, "The Urgent Need for Forensic Excellence" (2008) 32 Crim LJ 205.
 - In footnotes do not use ibid or op cit. Repeat author surname and add footnote reference to first mention.
 - ³ Trindade R and Smith R, "Modernising Australian Merger Analysis" (2007) 35 ABLR 358.
 - ⁴ Trindade and Smith, n 3 at 358-359.
 - Wherever possible use official journal title abbreviations.
- 7. Internet references are cited as follows:

Ricketson S, *The Law of Intellectual Property: Copyright, Designs and Confidential Information* (Lawbook Co, subscription service) at [16.340], http://www.thomsonreuters.com.au/default.asp viewed 25 June 2007. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

(2009) 37 ABLR 1 3

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The Australian Business Law Review comprises six parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomsonreuters.com.au
Email: LTA.Service@thomsonreuters.com

Editorial inquiries: Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE 100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009 Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© 2009 Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited ABN 64 058 914 668

Lawbook Co.

Published in Sydney

ISSN 0310-1053

Typeset by Thomson Reuters (Professional) Australia Limited, Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW

4 (2009) 37 ABLR 1