
Australian Law Journal

GENERAL EDITOR
Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

THOMSON REUTERS EDITOR
Cheryle King

ASSISTANT GENERAL EDITORS

Ruth Higgins <i>Barrister, Sydney</i>	Angelina Gomez <i>Lawyer, Perth</i>	Clare Langford <i>Solicitor, Sydney</i>
------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------

The mode of citation of this volume is

(2015) 89 ALJ [page]

The Australian Law Journal is a refereed journal.

Australian Law Journal Reports

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Carolyn May

CASE REPORTERS
John Carroll
Christine Eldabbagh

The mode of citation of this volume is:

89 ALJR [page]

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 89, Number 10

October 2015

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

General Editor of the ALJ	671
Specialist courts	671
Self deception	671
150 years of law reporting	672
Court delays	673
30 years after the Murphy inquiry	673
Language change	674

CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editor: Peter Butt

It's still buyer beware for building defects	675
A road by any other name?	677
Dangers lurking in terms contracts	679

FAMILY LAW – Editor: Anthony Dickey QC

The propriety of refusing to sign the certificate of advice for a financial agreement	681
The Full Court as social engineers	682

AROUND THE NATION: VICTORIA – Editor: Justice Clyde Croft

The “temptation of domesticity” and the role of the courts in Australia’s arbitration regime	684
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW – Editor: Justice Rachel Pepper

Federal environmental policy	688
High Court decision on standing under the ADJR Act	691
Significant environmental decisions of the Federal Court	691
New State-wide mining assessment policy in New South Wales	693

HUMAN RIGHTS – Editor: Simon Rice

The robust fragility of the right to liberty in Australia	694
-----------------------------------------------------------------	-----

COMPETITION AND CONSUMER LAW – Editor: Robert Baxt AO

Proposed review of Australian Consumer Law	696
--------------------------------------------------	-----

ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME – Editor: Dr Damien J Cremeau

International law of salvage: Past to present and useful result	698
-----------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

RECENT CASES – Editor: Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

Will construction: The proper approach	702
The reinterment of King Richard III	702
Corporation Law: Disclosure of shareholders' email addresses?	703
Passing off: Is it sufficient that an overseas company has a reputation in England to give it standing for an injunction?	703
Mortgages: Relevance of mortgagee's motives in enforcing security – Ambit of court's powers to give mortgagor relief against forfeiture	704
Trusts: Trustees de son tort – Can there be a trust without property?	705
Contract: Fraud – Rescission	705

ARTICLES**EQUAL JUSTICE AND CULTURAL DIVERSITY: THE GENERAL MEETS THE PARTICULAR****Chief Justice Robert French AC**

Equality before the law does not necessarily result in equal justice. Equal justice may require different treatment of persons according to the differences between them. As such, it represents a more demanding and controversial standard. This article examines the varying ways in which the substantive law attempts to accommodate cultural diversity through the application of these two concepts of equality. Importantly, however, attempts to address difficulties faced by certain groups in accessing and effectively engaging with the judicial system must also extend to the procedural and administrative aspects of the law. In a demographically diverse nation, achievement of equal justice requires all players in the legal system to be aware of and respond appropriately to the challenges of cultural difference.	706
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

THE UNITED NATIONS REPORT ON NORTH KOREA AND THE SECURITY COUNCIL: INTERFACE OF SECURITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS**Hon Michael Kirby AC CMG**

This article examines the origins of the provisions in the UN Charter 1945, governing resolutions of the Security Council. It traces those provisions ultimately to the Congress of Vienna, 1815 and subsequent developments, including the Covenant of the League of Nations 1920. Both the Covenant and the Charter draw a distinction between "procedural" resolutions and others, so far as the "veto" reserved to the permanent members of the Council is concerned. Invoking this distinction a resolution was adopted by the UN Security Council in December 2014, placing the issue of human rights in North Korea (DPRK) on the Council's agenda. The resolution followed a report of a UN Commission of Inquiry on DPRK chaired by the author. He examines the utility of the resolution and the way ahead.	714
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

MAGNA CARTA IN AUSTRALIA 1803-2015: LAW AND MYTH

David Clark

Magna Carta broke free of its medieval roots many centuries ago and by the 19th century came to stand for general propositions about the law and liberty. These included the right to due process, personal freedom and the right to representative government. As a statute only Ch 29 of Magna Carta 1297 currently survives in Australia and, while significant, it does not override contemporary Australian legislation, as some have argued. This article examines the myths surrounding the Charter and argues that these myths have oddly ensured the survival of the Charter in the public mind. The contemporary significance of Magna Carta in Australia, evidenced in both the law and in the press, lies in its uses in political and legal debate, and by being part of the educational culture of lawyers and the general public. 730

BOOK REVIEWS – Editor:Angelina Gomez

Seddon on Deeds by Nicholas Seddon 739

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN SEPTEMBER 2015

AstraZeneca AB v Apotex Pty Ltd (<i>Intellectual Property</i>) ([2015] HCA 30).....	798
AstraZeneca AB v Ascent Pharma Pty Ltd (<i>Intellectual Property</i>) ([2015] HCA 30)	798
AstraZeneca AB v Watson Pharma Pty Ltd (<i>Intellectual Property</i>) ([2015] HCA 30).....	798
Duncan v New South Wales (<i>Criminal Law; High Court and Federal Court; Statutes</i>) ([2015] HCA 32)	835
Fuller-Lyons v New South Wales (<i>Torts</i>) ([2015] HCA 31)	824