

AUSTRALASIAN DISPUTE RESOLUTION JOURNAL

Volume 18, Number 2

May 2007

CASENOTES – *David Spencer*

Hurworth Nominees Pty Ltd v ANZ Banking Group Ltd	69
Franks v Western Australia	71
Law Council trial of online dispute resolution	72

ARTICLES

A discussion of apology and its use in alternative dispute resolution – *Deanna Foong*

This article begins by explaining what defines an effective apology. It outlines the value of apologies, and discusses some of the impediments to making apologies. Finally, it looks at some legal measures, and mediation as a forum which might encourage apologetic behaviour. 73

Lawyers' participation in mediation – *Chiara-Marisa Caputo*

In the last 10 years, mediation and litigation have formed an increasingly direct relationship. Some commentators are concerned that the merging of these two diverse dispute resolution cultures may distort the underlying values of mediation. This article will explore what role, if any, exists for lawyers as representatives of disputants in mediation, if the principles of facilitative mediation are to be preserved. It is argued that there is often an advantage in a lawyer's participation in his or her client's mediation. However, if the principles of mediation are to be maintained, lawyers must adopt different perspectives, behaviours and skills to those typically employed in court. 84

Mediation accreditation: Using online role-plays to teach theoretical issues – *Kathy Douglas*

Proposals for the accreditation of mediators have progressed recently with the acceptance of plans for a national mediator accreditation system. Under the scheme, mediators will be asked to complete learning in a variety of areas in order to meet accreditation requirements. Yet, many mediators are trained through short courses, often three to five days in duration. This may mean that the opportunity to learn deeply of theoretical issues, such as power regarding the practice of mediation, is constrained. This article explores the possibility of providing online learning to supplement face-to-face teaching in mediation courses. The benefits of engaging mediation students in active learning opportunities online are highlighted and the teaching and learning strategies of online mediation role-plays is discussed. 92

Alternative dispute resolution in residential tenancy cases – *Frances Gibson*

Legislation in Australian States and Territories provides for the use of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) in Tenancy Tribunals prior to adjudication stage. This article outlines these provisions in three jurisdictions and canvasses concerns as to the use of ADR in

tenancy cases. Despite power imbalances between landlords and tenants, approaches such as mediation and conciliation have proved to be productive ways of approaching tenancy disputes in other jurisdictions, even where matters are at tribunal or court stage. Legislation and practice in tenancy cases in three Australian jurisdictions are considered – Victoria, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. Through interviews with tenancy advocates, Tribunal Members and observation of tenancy proceedings, it is concluded that despite the apparent availability of structured ADR in Australian legislation for tenancy cases, jurisdictions vary widely in opportunities offered for tenants or landlords to try and resolve their disputes with the assistance of any mediation or conciliation process. Evaluation of effectiveness of current models should be undertaken to develop a national best practice model. 101

Lessons learned on the road – teaching restorative justice to marginalised individuals and communities in Fiji – Peni Moore

In countries such as Fiji where community and national conflict have not been dealt with in healthy and non-violent ways, and justice seems secondary to politicised power and control, diverse individuals and communities are in great need of healing, where harms need to be acknowledged and responsibility admitted in order to remove the guilt and shame that prevents Fiji from moving forward in a positive, healing way. This article will look at teaching restorative justice to minority communities in order to deal with conflict by allowing the victims to participate fully in the process. 110

Forces to foster co-operative contracting in construction projects – Tak Wing Yiu

The construction industry is plagued by an increasingly adversarial atmosphere. Lack of co-operation has been identified as one of the major causes of inefficiency in the industry. Promoting co-operative contracting behaviour has long been advocated as a means to improve performance within construction projects. This article presents the results obtained from a survey of 100 construction professionals, with the aim of identifying significant forces that foster co-operative contracting forces in construction projects. The Relative Importance Index (RII) was employed to rank these forces. Based on the RII, the top five most significant forces were identified. They were then divided into three main categories: (1) experience of previous dealings; (2) degree of openness; and (3) degree of involvement. The results obtained from this study shall provide groundwork for further research area of co-operative contracting behaviour. 113

Mediator ethics: To teach or not to teach – Jennifer Butler

Practitioners in mediation face a wide range of ethical dilemmas on a daily basis. However, ethics is often neglected in the teaching of mediation courses in favour of more substantive issues. These substantive issues are often more easily taught than issues of ethics, which some argue can't be taught at all. This article argues that ethics should be taught in mediation courses. There is discussion of the requirements of the National Mediation Standard. The article also contains a critique of various methods of teaching ethics and a recommendation as to which methods are best used for teaching ethics in mediation. 119

BOOK REVIEW – reviewed by David Spencer
Resolving Conflict: A Practical Approach by Greg Tillett and Brendan French 126

Guidelines for Contributors

Submission and licence agreement instructions

All contributions to the journal are welcome and should be sent, with a signed licence agreement, to the Production Editor, *Australasian Dispute Resolution Journal*, Lawbook Co., PO Box 3502, Rozelle, NSW 2039 (mail), 100 Harris St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009 (courier) or by email to adrj@thomson.com.au, for forwarding to the Editor. Licence agreements can be downloaded via the internet at http://www.thomson.com.au/support/as_contributors.asp. If you submit your contribution via email, please confirm that you have printed, signed and mailed the licence agreement to the attention of the Production Editor at the mailing address noted above.

Letters to the Editor

By submitting a letter to the editor of this journal for publication, you agree that Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, trading as Lawbook Co., may edit and has the right to, and may license third parties to, reproduce in electronic form and communicate the letter.

Manuscript

- Manuscript must be original, unpublished work that has not been submitted for publication elsewhere. Personal details (name, qualifications, position) for publication and a delivery address, email address and phone number must be included with the manuscript.
- Manuscript must be submitted electronically via email or on disk in Microsoft Word format.
- Manuscript should not exceed 4,000 words for articles or 1,500-2,000 words for section commentary or book reviews. An abstract of 100-150 words is to be submitted with article manuscripts.
- Proofs pages will be sent to contributors. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of case names, citations and other references. Excessive changes cannot be accommodated at proof stage.
- Contributors of articles will receive a copy of the part in which the article is published. Other contributors will receive a copy of the part to which they have contributed.
- This journal complies with the Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC) Specifications for peer review. Each article is, prior to publication, reviewed in its entirety by a suitably qualified expert who is independent of the author.

Style

1. **Levels of headings should be clearly indicated (no more than four levels).**
2. **Cases:**
 - Case citation follows case name. Where a case is cited in the text, the citation should follow immediately rather than as a footnote. Give at least two and preferably all available citations, the first being the authorised reference.
 - Australian citations should appear in the following order: authorised series; Lawbook Co./ATP series; other company series (ie CCH, Butterworths); media neutral citation.
 - “At” references should only refer to the best available citation, eg: *Mabo v Queensland [No 2]* (1992) 175 CLR 1 at 34; 66 ALJR 408; 107 ALR 1.
 - Where only a media neutral citation is available, “at” references should be to paragraph, eg: *YG v Minister for Community Services* [2002] NSWCA 247 at [19].
 - For international cases best references only should be included.
3. **Legislation should be cited as follows:** *Trade Practices Act 1974* (Cth), s 51AC. The full citation should be repeated in footnotes.
4. **Books should be cited as follows:** Cairns B, *Australian Civil Procedure* (5th ed, Lawbook Co., 2002) p 52. In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. The following style is preferred:
 4. Austin RP, “Constructive Trusts” in Finn PD (ed), *Essays in Equity* (Law Book Co, 1985).
 5. Austin, n 4, p 56.
5. **Journal articles should be cited as follows:** Odgers S, “Police Interrogation: A Decade of Legal Development” (1990) 14 Crim LJ 220. Wherever possible use official abbreviations not the full name for journal titles. In footnotes do not use *ibid* or *op cit*. The following style is preferred:
 6. Sheehy EA, Stubbs J and Tolmie J, “Defending Battered Women on Trial: The Battered Woman Syndrome and its Limitations” (1992) 16 Crim LJ 220.
 7. Sheehy et al, n 6 at 221.
6. **Internet references should be cited as follows:** Watson RS, *Federal Offences* (Lawbook Co., subscription service) at [5.11130], <http://subscriber.lawbookco.com.au> viewed 25 June 2002. Underline the URL and include the date the document was viewed.

For further information visit <http://www.thomson.com.au/legal/> or contact the Production Editor.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

The *Australasian Dispute Resolution Journal* comprises four parts a year.

Customer service and sales inquiries:
Tel: 1300 304 195 Fax: 1300 304 196
Web: www.thomson.com.au/legal/p_index.asp
Email: LRA.Service@thomson.com

Editorial inquiries:
Tel: (02) 8587 7000

HEAD OFFICE
100 Harris Street PYRMONT NSW 2009
Tel: (02) 8587 7000 Fax: (02) 8587 7100



© Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited ABN 64 058 914 668 trading as Lawbook Co.

ISSN 1441-7847

Typeset by Lawbook Co., Pyrmont, NSW

Printed by Ligare Pty Ltd, Riverwood, NSW